THE SECOND AND THIRD INTERNATIONALS

AND

THE VIENNA UNION

Official Report of the Conference between the Executives, held at the Reichstag, Berlin, on the 2nd April, 1922, and following days



1922

THE LABOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY LTD. 6, TAVISTOCK SQUARE, LONDON, W.C.1

PRICE ONE SHILLING.

THE SECOND & THIRD INTERNATIONALS

It work ming science.

THE VIENNA UNION

AND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

94		•	† :	of the Georgian Socialists	ian S	e Georg	of th
	ehalf	on on b	aratio	Tseretelli's Declaration on behalf	eretel		APPENDIX III.
91	Social	rman S	ne Ge	Democratic Party (Wels)	larat Party	ra	APPENDIX II. Democ
89	Inter-		the Third	Declaration of onal	elarat	I. Dec	APPENDIX
83	:	i	tion	Text of Common Declaration	mon	of Con	Text
79	i	;	:	ìе	of Nir	mittee of Nine	
	Com-	of the	port	The Report		Day:— Friedrich Adler.	THIRD DAY:— Friedrick
65	:	:	:	:	÷	Karl Radek	Karl
57	:	:	:	:	<u>:</u>	Otto Bauer	Otto
48	:	:	:	:	:	Serrati	Serra
39	:	:	•	ald	MacDonald		Ramsay
						-: A	SECOND DAY:
30	i	:	i	:		Radek	Karl
28	:	on	Uni	The Vienna Union		Paul Faure.	Paul
19	:	:	:	de	ervel	Emile Vandervelde	Emi
12	:	:	÷	:		national	
	Inter-	Third	t by	Clara Zetkin. Statement by Third	n. S	a Zetkin	Clar
Οτ	:	:	:	•	dler	Friedrich Adler	Frie
						ï	FIRST DAY:
PAGE.			1				

APPENDIX IV. Declaration of the I.A.S.P.
(International Working Union) ... 95
820115 BOUND JAN 1960

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

General Session of the Executive Committees of the three International Organisations.

FIRST DAY.

Sunday, the 2nd of April, 1922, 11-45 a.m., in the Reichstag, Berlin, Room 25.

President: FRIEDRICH ADLER (Austria).

FRIEDRICH ADLER

Adler, opening the Meeting at 11.45, said:—Comrades, the Meeting is open.

Before we begin the Conference there are one or two technical details to deal with. The representatives of the three Executives met yesterday and to-day to come to an agreement over them. They have agreed that each of the three Executives shall be allowed ten delegates, who shall have the right to vote. In addition all members of the Executives shall be admitted as guests.

After some discussion, it has been decided further

After some discussion, it has been decided further that the general press is to be admitted to the present

I have further to announce that it has been decided not to admit any parties to the Conference which are not affiliated to one of the three Executives, with the single exception of the Italian Party, for the practical reason that it has been suggested on many sides that

Ē

the proposed International Conference should take place in Italy. The Italian Party then will have the right to one representative, who shall take part in the debates, and two representatives as guests, like the other members of the Executives.

I also have to tell you that the Presidency has been arranged so that each of the three Executives shall nominate one comrade. The Second International has nominated Comrade Tom Shaw; the Third, Comrade Clara Zetkin, and the International Working Union has nominated one. These three comrades, therefore, will conduct the proceedings.

LIST OF DELEGATES

The Delegates present are:—

SECOND INTERNATIONAL:-

As Delegates: Camille Huysmans, Emile Vandervelde (Belgium); Stauning (Denmark); Otto Wels (Germany); Harry Gosling, Ramsay MacDonald, Tom Shaw (England); Tseretelli (Georgia); W. N. Vliegen (Holland); Gustav Moeller (Sweden).

As Guests: Henri de Man (Belgium); Adolf Braun, Dr. Lütkens, Viktor Schiff (Germany); E. Bevin, Marguerite Cox, William Gillies (England).

INTERNATIONAL WORKING UNION OF SOCIALIST PARTIES:—

As Delegates: Arthur Crispien (Germany); R. C. Wallhead (England); Paul Faure, Jean Longuet (France); Bruno Kalnin (Lettland); Friedrich Adler, Otter Bauer (Austria); Julius Martov (Russia); Robert Grimm (Switzerland); Karl Cermak (Czecho-Slovakia).

As Guests: Wilhelm Dittman (Germany); Alexander Bracke, Compère-Morel (France); B. Locker, S. Kaplansky (Poale-Zion); R. Abramovitch, Alexander

THIRD INTERNATIONAL:-

Schreider (Russia).

As Delegates: Klara Zetkin (Germany); L. O.

Frossard, Rosmer (France); Katayama (Japan); Stojanowits (Jugo-Slavia); Warski (Poland); N. Bucharin, Karl Radek (Russia); Bohumil-Smeral (Czecho-Slovakia).

As Guests: Bujanowicz, Vujovits (Jugo-Slavia).

FROM THE ITALIAN SOCIALIST PARTY:

Representative who may take part in the Debates: Serrati.

As Guests: Adelchi Baratono; Domenico Fioritto.

Comrades! The Conference which is meeting to-day is an experiment. It is an attempt to come to an agreement, an understanding within very definite limits; no one present here will entertain any illusions about the extent of those limits. We have summoned this Conference in the belief that the time has come when it is possible to make this experiment. Whether this experiment will succeed, experience will show us. But the mere fact that the Conference has met, which a short time ago would have seemed an impossibility, is already a measure of success. The outcome can only be shown by the results of the Conference.

DIFFERENCES

We of the International Working Union of Socialist Parties have undertaken this experiment with the knowledge that the position of the world proletariat is such that it is imperative, in spite of all differences which may exist, to make an attempt to unite its strength for certain concrete purposes and actions. We have no illusions, we know that each of the three groups present here entered this Conference with considerable reservations, and I may say in my opening address that we too, who have initiated this Conference, will explain through our representative, Paul Faure, in a short declaration, our views with regard to the conference, what difficulties we see in the way, and what reservations we have to

cc

make. Each of the three sides will give some such expression of their views.

We understand from the outset that unity for certain definite action cannot be obtained by glossing over the differences which exist between us; nor can it be begotten of an apparent harmony and unity, but by the clear recognition of the inevitable differences which exist amongst the world proletariat at the present time. In spite of these differences an attempt can be made to lead the way towards common action within definite limits.

NO FUSION

I would like at once to make one point clear, which all of us who follow the course of events will understand, that it is no part of our present task to try to bring about any fusion of the three International organisations represented here, or to concern ourselves in any way with such questions of organisation. We do not know whether that will be possible in some more distant future. We all realise, I think, that the present difficulties amongst the proletariat make a common organisation impossible; but perhaps—and this is the aim of this Conference—certain common action can be reached.

We also realise that the three organisations which have met together here, fully conscious of the difficulties, have met for the purpose, not of establishing unity of organisation, but of considering whether it is possible to come to action.

NEED OF UNITED ACTION.

I think that all of us here feel that common action on the part of the proletariat has never been more urgent than at the present time. However powerful the differences between us may be, however much we may feel those differences day by day and be compelled day by day to oppose comrades of one section or another, still we know that above all these differences, and

distress of the world proletariat which is the outcome of the world war—the terrible conditions of misery caused by depreciation of currency and economic need on the one hand, and increased unemployment in the lands with a high currency on the other hand—this urgent need of the world proletariat has produced amongst them, side by side with their interest in theoretical questions, an imperative desire for unity of action in the immediate tasks of the day.

GENOA.

I think I may say that the chief reason which has brought us together and decided this date for the Conference is that the International of capitalist imperialism is now gathering for a conference in Genoa, the possibilities of which we view with great scepticism. There an attempt is to be made, on one hand to impose heavy new burdens upon the proletariat of all countries, on the other hand to threaten new warlike developments.

In opposition to this Genoa Conference and the dangers involved in it, we have all said, and all the three Executives have said it with the same emphasis, that an attempt must be made to see whether it may be possible to set up against this tide of capitalist reaction a united band of proletarian Parties representative of different tendencies to carry out common action on specific points.

COMMON ACTION

I think, therefore, we must be quite clear about this point from the beginning: we do not want a common organisation. We are working for common action. Common action, however, is only possible under certain conditions. The intention of the Conference which we have summoned here to-day is to explore those conditions for common action. For it is very easy to say: We want common action. Common action means

a common watchword, agreement upon the right time for action, agreement upon the means to be used to carry out that action. However fine the appeal to common action may sound, it can only be made possible if we are able to come to an agreement upon these problems. Comrades, we who have lived through the struggle together know well enough that an army like the proletarian army will be in the worst possible position to-day if it is led towards common action by people who are not able to discuss this action amongst that it provides the opportunity for creating conditions necessary for common action.

REFORMISM AND REVOLUTION.

Comrades, we who have called this Conference know perfectly well the differences which exist between the representatives meeting in this hall. These differences can be expressed more or less aggressively, with a more or less clear understanding. To-day when we are beginning our discussions, I would like to express them by saying that the main question in the great struggle between Reformism and Revolution is: should we lay the chief emphasis on the struggle of to-day or of to-morrow? Amongst men of good will there are those who keep their eyes fixed on to-day and those who turn their glance towards the future. The differences lie in the historical perspective of these two groups; they turn upon the question, when will this to-morrow be? In our battle-song, the song common to all of us, we sing:

"Close the ranks and to-morrow the International will unite the human race."

When will this to-morrow come, when will the great day which is to end the struggle come?—that is the question which divides us. This affects our actions, and the farther away this to-morrow appears the more urgently will certain Parties try to direct their policy towards the problems of to-day. But, however different

our perspective of to-morrow may be, we can still say that although we who meet here as comrades are divided as to whether the fight is to be for to-day or to-morrow, yet we have this in common, that we all want to fight.

CONDITIONS

Therefore, comrades, we have explained clearly to you in the proposals we sent you, and which you have accepted in principle, that the general Conference which is the subject of our present discussions will be founded on the basis that all who come may come with different points of view, but they will all be fighting for the proletariat. We have, therefore, set forth as the conditions for future action:

"All proletarian Parties will be admitted who stand on the ground of the class struggle, whose goal is to overthrow capitalism and who recognise the necessity for common international action on the part of the proletariat for the attainment of this goal."

This statement gives the conditions under which we can come together. Only on the basis that all who are here are prepared to fight on behalf of the proletariat, according to their own historical perspective, can we work together.

We know all the questions of historial perspective that must divide us when the great struggle comes; but we believe that apart from all the questions which divide us as to the future, the whole world proletariat recognises the fact that the needs of the moment compel us to action, to fight for to-day. And if it is possible to find within certain limits a platform for the struggle of the immediate present, a struggle imposed upon us by the immediate needs of the day, this in itself will be a result at which the whole world proletariat will rejoice.

This is the spirit in which we have called the Conference. We will discuss the problems, the difficulties and obstacles, which lie before us all. We can only reach a platform for common action by overcoming

obstacles. We will not be dismayed if at first, if we deal honestly, differences manifest themselves. We think that on the ground of these differences and in spite of these differences a common struggle on the part of the international proletariat can be waged for certain concrete ends.

In this spirit, comrades, I greet all those who are present at this Conference, conscious of the great tasks which lie before us, conscious of our responsibility before the international proletariat if we wreck this experiment, conscious of the fact that we must all try to advance a few steps together. I therefore greet you in the name of our organisation and declare the meeting open.

STATEMENT BY THIRD INTERNATIONAL.

Clara Zetkin then read the following statement on behalf of the delegation of the Third International:—

CLARA ZETKIN.

At the commencement of the deliberations with representatives of the Executive Committees of the Second International and the International Working Union of Socialist Parties the delegation of the Communist International thinks it incumbent upon itself to make the following declaration:—

WHY DISUNITY?

It is the first time since the last session of the International Socialist Bureau in July, 1914, in Brussels, which was followed by the world war and the collapse of the Second International, that representatives of all sections of the International Labour Movement, which was once a homogeneous international unit, sit down for joint conference. This cannot be allowed to pass unnoticed without our placing on record before the international proletariat the fact to which the present disunity of the working class is due, viz., that various strata of the working class entered into a temporary

defensive struggle against the offensive of world capital. demands that the working class, in spite of all the realising that the whole world situation urgently injurious. But this opinion does not hinder us from orientation in principle, is entirely utopian and national organisations of the proletariat, differing as to only be overcome when the great majority of the strength. No lamentations (nor oaths) can alter this profound differences cleaving it, must unite for a declare that the organic unity of the present interestablishes the dictatorship of the working people, we proletariat seizes power in revolutionary battle and has not yet learned in this struggle that capitalism can summoned sufficient energy for this struggle, because it capital, until labour breaks with the policy of coalition fact. And because the working class has not as yet which is one of the principal sources of capital's power, there will always be the disunity within its ranks with capital, until labour rises to the fight for political common struggle for its interests against international position of many parties and organisations of the fact which has been expressed in the anti-revolutionary community of interests with the imperialist states, a working class. Until labour bands together in a

THE MISSED CHANCE

After the end of the war, the armed and aroused working masses returned home in order to learn that the Democracy and the welfare of the peoples, for which they had been told they were shedding their blood, were nothing but capitalist lies designed to conceal and veil the fact that the war was a struggle for the profit interests of Capital. It was then possible to overwhelm the capitalist world. But the indecision of the masses of the working class, the democratic illusions which were spread among them and had been methodically fed by the reformist parties, and the open and concealed coalition of the latter with the bourgeoisie prevented the majority of the working class from following the glorious

example of the Russian October Revolution. Instead of that they helped world capital to repel the first attack of the proletariat. The working masses of the whole world can now feel the effects of this policy on their own bodies. The international bourgeoisie is incapable of setting the world in order even upon the basis of the capitalist system, is incapable of assuring the proletariat even the pre-war standard of living. The Capitalist world, shaken to its deepest foundations, has, none the less, strength enough to attempt to shift upon the proletariat the costs of the war.

THE BOURGEOIS OFFENSIVE.

suppression of the colonial and semi-colonial peoples spite of unemployment; therefore it is attempting to cut attempting to lengthen the working hours of labour in working class all over the world. Therefore it is fore the bourgeoisie is taking up an offensive against the it is of no avail to attempt to extort hundreds of millions of the militarist and imperialist policy of the great newly-created states which are being employed as tools Soviet Russia which they were not able to overthrow obtaining a large part of the costs of the war by for the strengthening of the capitalistic world system. costs of the war, and more than that, furnish the means funds necessary for capitalist reconstruction. Theretremendous pillage would not be sufficient to obtain the that—even if they would be able to do it—this Soviet Russia and the colonies, even they understand from the exhausted masses of the defeated countries of the international bourgeoisie who have not realised that Powers; by means of the increased exploitation and by military force; by means of the exploitation of the Russian people by means of the peaceful penetration of increasing the exploitation of the German proletariat wages. The international working class is to cover all the (China, Persia and Turkey). But even the circles of by means of reparations burdens, and of the entire The world bourgeoisie has not yet given up hope of

This situation places the international working class before momentous decisions. It will either now unite for the defensive struggle against all the attacks of international capital, it will either proceed unitedly number the attempts at the economic pillage of the deleated countries, of Soviet Russia and the Colonies, and well as against the wave of lock-outs, it will either attacks of the struggle for the abolition of the Treaty of Versailles, for the recognition of Soviet Russia and its economic reconstruction, for the control of production all countries, or it will pay with its blood and health for the cost of the peace as it had to pay for the war.

THE UNITED FRONT.

working masses that they unite for the struggle against the present offensive of capital, and that they carry on that struggle in the most energetic manner without conderation as to their differences of opinion upon the way leading to the final victory and the means of manning this way. The Communist International has, therefore, proclaimed the slogan of the united proleman front for the struggle against the bourgeoisie, and welcomed the proposal of the Vienna Working Union for the convocation of an International Labour Congress a means for the uniting of the coming labour thruggles.

THE CONFERENCE.

In order that this congress be a success the Communist International proposes that all proletarian trade-union organisations be invited. The trade-unions contain the majority of the proletariat. They comprise this majority without consideration of political differences. They have the working masses in their dually needs and struggles. If the International Labour Congress is to be no empty demonstration, but is to unite the international action of the proletariat, the trade-unions must participate in it. The splitting of

the leading organisations of the proletariat, and even of its mass organisations in various countries, is no reason against the invitation of the trade-unions, but on the contrary is exactly why they should be invited. For just because the trade-unions are grouping them selves around two centres an agreement as to action is necessary. We move the invitation of the Amsterdam Trade Union International and the Red Trade Union International, as well as the independent syndicalist organisations, the American Federation of Labour, and various other independent unions.

at the instant where the situation makes it necessary revolutionary proletarian elements, who must be not great in numbers, but they contain certain sincer of the parties affiliated to the three Internationa organisations, be invited alongside of representative parties and party groups, outside of the internationa even to come to an agreement with the reformist parties to an agreement with them in questions of action, and groups. We held it to be our duty to attempt to come class. Very sharp differences separate us from thes enrolled in the general battle front of the working the anarchist and syndicalist organisations. They are Executives. In this connection the most important ar working class, is the source of the errors of these Lef whose policy, directed against the interests of the As for the proletarian parties, we propose that those

DEFEND RUSSIA.

We consider the convocation of the International Labour Conference absolutely necessary. The Genomeron Conference represents an attempt of world capital the commence a new partition of the world, a new capitalist world order after the collapse of the Versailles policy on the hard rocks of fact. The international working class was undecided and incompetent of action during the Versailles Conference. Only Soviet Russia fought with arms in hand against the attempt of Entente capital to enslave the entire world.

In Versailles, where the representatives of various of world economies, to deal with the labour question, so shamelessly. impital, assembled in Genoa, for their promises broken domand an account from the representatives of world international representatives of the working class must with unemployment and the eight-hour day. Not as donference, whose task is allegedly the reconstruction therefore raise its voice even during the umall states, the elimination of unemployment and of atrangulation of Soviet Russia, the exploitation of the mutional proletariat does not exert all its energy against In the Entente countries and America. If the intera battle for the living standard for the working masses atruggle against the reparations policy of the Allies is inked it to consider the interest of the proletariat, the the world economic crisis is absolutely out of the Conference. It must attempt to compel the Genoa question. The international working colonies and of the population in the newly created labour organisations, behind whom were struggling the Treaty of Versailles, the attempts at the economic impitulation of the German bourgeoisie before the masses, stood hand in hand before the Entente and proletariat of the world, thanks to the complete lariat has become the reducer of the wages of the To-day, after three years of capitalist chaos, of continuing capitalist decay, Soviet Russia stands to coerce its social capitulation. The German prolethe world revolution must be aided against the attempts The first state which was created by the first wave of unwhaken and victorious. It is, however, the object of Untente in spite of the workers' resistance. The powerful, so-called "peaceful" attacks of world capital. class must

REFORMISM AND COMMUNISTS.

attempt of Entente capital to enslave the entire world he international proletariat, without concealing for a andy to do all in its power for the united struggle of The delegation of the Communist International is

reformist parties. It can do that all the more easily moment what separates it from the reformist and semi ment and order of the world in its strong victoriou hands, in order to rebuild it, in accordance with the necessary to assure this. It must take the establish existence, but that a victory of the proletariat is assure the world peace and the proletariat a human countries that no compromise with capital is able to each experience will hammer into the proletariat of a because it is convinced that each day of struggle and interests of the overwhelming majority of humanity.

as concern the immediate practical united action of th moved by all these considerations, propose that thi national therefore propose the following order of working masses, questions which do not divide it, bu International Conference only deal with such question business for the International Conference:-The delegation of the Communist International The delegation of the Communist Inter

Defence against the capitalist offensive.

Struggle against reaction.

4. 00 The preparation of the fight against nev imperialist wars.

Assistance in the reconstruction of the Russia Soviet Republic.

ठर The Treaty of Versailles and the reconstruction THE DELEGATION OF THE EXECUTIVE OF THE of the devastated regions. COMMUNIST INTERNATIONA

ADJOURNMENT.

Berlin, April 2nd, 1922.

to give the Committee an opportunity of making furth meeting will probably be adjourned until the mornin speak, and then Comrade Paul Faure, and then t until 3 o'clock. At 3 o'clock Comrade Vandervelde w then have been made. Now we must adjourn, but on because we expected that all three declarations wou Committee to adjourn the session for three hour arrangements. ADLER: It was originally intended by the Managin

Sunday, the 2nd of April, 1922

AFTERNOON SESSION.

President: TOM SHAW (England).

EMILE VANDERVELDE.

nolutions which have been suggested. of the Executive Committee of the Third International. which have been presented, and even on the practical definite and explicit reservations to make on the theses polemics. I need not add that I should have very Therefore I will resist the temptation to discussion and of the Second International, and not in my own name. I am to speak in the name of the Executive Committee point, to the declaration made this morning in the name Comrades! it is not my intention to reply, point by

injuries. German proletariat, and give some satisfaction to Mr. Stinnes, but I am not so sure that such suggestions unite in conference to discuss means for relieving the the countries which have suffered the most serious would be received as favourably by the proletariat of I have no doubt that such suggestions would please the tions imposed upon them by the Treaty of Versailles. proletariat in certain countries of the burden of repara-For example, it has been suggested that we should

name of the Second International. discussions and polemics. I am now speaking in the But, I repeat, I have no intention of entering into

A GENERAL CONFERENCE

The session is ended. (1.40 p.m.) The first reason for this is that we have already We will not reply to a declaration by a declaration.

Explained our attitude to our comrades of the Vienna Union in the declaration made at Frankfort. The second reason, and the more important, is that we do not want to bind ourselves by an affirmative or negative declaration upon the subject of the general Conference. The exchange of views about to take place will show us whether this Conference is actually possible or impossible. But there is one point upon which we are in agreement with our Vienna friends, and also with the delegates of the Third International, namely, that it would be desirable if the Socialist proletariat could organise to defend itself against capitalist reaction.

The motives for this have been clearly indicated by the declaration of Vienna and by that of the Third

Europe is now living under the standard of reaction; Europe is now living under the standard of reaction; reaction on the part of the employers, who are preparing an offensive against wages and short hours of labour; monarchic reaction, which has almost reached its goal in Hungary, and which is threatening everywhere the conquests in the domain of political power made after the war; and finally, perhaps the most serious, militarist reaction, which after the recent world catastrophe is now preparing fresh catastrophes.

DANGERS FROM CAPITALISM.

I ought to add, citizens, that in my opinion there is another danger to be considered. Capitalism divided against itself is threatening to drag us into the abysses of war, but a kind of relative reconciliation between the different capitalisms would be no less a danger to Socialists. We have two things to fear; either that capitalists will be seized by the madness which leads them into the abyss, or that they will become reason able, will try to come to an agreement and organise vast consortium for the exploitation of the world, and primarily for the exploitation of Russia. And these are attempts actually showing themselves in every

21

country, whose aim is the organisation of a capitalist hegemony throughout the world, under the direction and ægis of that capitalism which is at once the most powerful, the most brutal, the most cynical—American capitalism.

A UNITED FRONT.

tionary forces of the proletariat are to be brought Yellow International. All the political and revolucome to an agreement upon a basis for common action. together to discuss a definite programme and to try to International, which only yesterday was being called the interesting point in this morning's declaration-the Trade Union organisations, including the Trade Union but also-and, I notice, no reference was made to this general Conference not only all the proletarian Parties, modest. It is a question of bringing together in a summoning this Conference is in reality much more objective which our Vienna comrades had in view when existed, or which seemed to exist, before the war. The recreating the international Socialist unity which Certainly, there can be no question at this moment of being amongst ourselves a certain unity of action. ourselves to form a united defensive front, to bring into should all, because we are Socialists, try to organise Faced with such dangers, it is inevitable that we

ACTION ALREADY TAKEN

In reply to these suggestions, I would like to say at the outset that we raise no objections in principle. Such an attempt is not entirely new since the days of the war. On several occasions we have all united for common action, even without a preliminary agreement. Perhaps I may be allowed to recall, for instance, that two years ago, when the Polish Government abruptly broke off its negotiations with the Soviets and the Polish armies marched upon Kiev, an effort towards peace was made by the whole proletariat of Europe, and the Belgian Labour Party, which was at that time

all the Socialist Parties, stopped the transportation of The Amsterdam International drew up an agreement of the famine, or to what section of the International of the Volga, we did not stop to ask what were the causes millions of Russians were dying of hunger in the valley factories of other countries. When we learned that the munitions which had been manufactured in the considered—however unjustly—the most reactionary of countries concerned meeting with certain Communist serious problem of reparations was under consideration with the Soviet Government to send provisions to the the sufferers belonged. We immediately took action. phrases—we have seen the Socialists of the five principal famished population. And again, recently, when the groups and coming to an agreement upon certain formulæ for common action. -a problem which cannot be resolved by a few theoretic

CONDITIONS OF SUCCESS.

results, if it is to increase the active strength of the tarian action we shall weaken it. If, on the contrary, display our differences, instead of strengthening prolemutual confidence. If we go to a general Conference to agreement upon the common action, accompanied by working class, there must be between us a minimum of Conference is to be effective, if it is to lead to any good But we are unanimous in the belief that if this lead us to refuse participation in a general Conference. offensive, we may expect only good results. We believe against the monarchic offensive, against the militarist organising ourselves against the employers' offensive, we go to a general Conference for the sole purpose of whether the proposed Conference can be of any value. that the question that has to be discussed to-day is, we should carry on our quarrels, or a field for manœuvres take part in it if it were only to be a battleground where I reply that it could not, and that we should refuse to between different sections of international Socialism. It is no question of agreement in principle which might

GOOD FAITH.

documents have been published recently which justify where Mime tells Siegfried of her intention to poison him, and Machiavelianism, there are passages which remind document, which is a strange mixture of ingenuousness confidential documents. Besides, it is unnecessary; for is a confidential document, and I do not like using written by Radek, with which you are all familiar: that filled with suspicions and apprehensions. Certain at the same time overwhelming him with friendly and me irresistibly of that scene in the Nibelungen cycle International, in a document which had been carefully last December the Executive Committee of the Third these apprehensions. I do not wish to refer to a letter should be invited to take part in the defence of Jouhaux, Merrheim, and Henderson, Vandervelde or whilst we are being told, for example, that men like the day when we shall all be put in the same basket—, us. Whilst we are all put into the same sack—pending of the intention to stifle us and poison us after embracing the realisation of the united front, but no secret is made flattering speeches. An appeal is made for union, for for publication, explained their real intentions. In this thought out and elaborated, and which was intended proletarian interests. is, to say the least of it, strange that these same men Longuet, are serving the interests of the bourgeoisie, it From this point of view, I will explain why we are

I know very well that one has to take these things in the Pickwickian sense; that in Communist circles to call a man a traitor simply means that you disagree with him in principle; and for myself I am all the less ready to take offence at these insults when I remember the state of mind of comrades who have been mixed up in events infinitely more tragic than those we have experienced since the war. I can assure you, therefore, that in the decision which we shall take the personal element will count for nothing.

unity is still maintained. against attempts to break up the unity of the workers, in such countries as Belgium or England, where this guarantees against noyautage; against fresh divisions, certain guarantees; guarantees of reciprocal good faith; Before we go to a general Conference, we must have Under these conditions, we are bound to reply to you: may carry on the work which you have already begun. tactical reasons, a clever manœuvre, in order that you that if you wish to achieve proletarian unity it is for an ingenuousness to which I have just drawn attention ful to meet us in conference. But you explain to us, with and in spite of all this you consider that it would be use-Zinoviev has even said that I have committed crimes; are yellow, we are supporters of the bourgeoisie unite with us in conference, but that you were anxious and careful language, not only that you were ready to to do so. We are Social-traitors, Social-patriots; we question; you told us this morning, in very moderate certain facts it is because they compel us to ask you a these questions on one side then. If I have recalled acting with our proletariat, for our proletariat, and with circumstances we found ourselves we knew we were mitted faults-no one is infallible,-in whatever the sole desire to serve the Socialist cause. I leave all Moreover, we feel very strongly that, if we have com-

This is the first question we put to you. Are you going, or do you wish to go, to a general Conference in order to continue your attempts at noyautage, or are you ready to unite with us and come to an agreement upon certain clearly defined objectives?

GEORGIA, ARMENIA, UKRAINE.

I now pass to two other questions, which are no less important and which are intimately connected. I said just now that I was in agreement with our Vienna friends in their desire for a Conference in which an attempt would be made to organise common defensive action against capitalism. But do you think, even if

call, I think rightly, Bolshevist imperialism? This will a section of our International complain of what they be the first discussion the day the Conference opens. the Red armies; from Georgia, where comrades forming m now caught in a vice between the Kemalist armies and decimated and has suffered martyrdom for twenty years Conference takes place without preliminary explanathe border States of Russia will stand up,—from the tions and guarantees, Socialist representatives from all means for organising the defensive of the proletariat? irresistibly upon the Congress when it came to discuss avoid certain questions which would force themselves Ukraine; from Armenia, where a people which has been Do you not realise that, at the very first meeting, if the proposals of the Vienna Union, it would be possible to you limited your agenda in accordance with the

POLITICAL PRISONERS.

But there is a question still more grave; more pressing, if that is possible, than the position of the mall Caucasian countries; a question very near to the learts of all European Socialists, whatever their condencies and opinions; the question of political prisoners. Suppose we call this Conference to-morrow, general Conference of all Socialist Parties, will not this question be put inevitably before all others? Do you think this Conference can meet without a demand from us that those who are in prison to-day shall join us those who are threatened with capital punishment; hose who, after serving as convicts under the Tsarist right, are now in the same position under the régime of the Third International?

Unless these questions are settled beforehand, how can we prevent them arising on the first day, during the first hour of the general Conference? And what will happen then? If you are determined to follow along the path you have chosen, you know quite well it means war to the bitter end, a struggle for the elementary hights of human personality. And under these con-

ditions, the general Conference, which was meant to strengthen us and consolidate us against capitalism, against the bourgeoisie, will on the contrary lead to violence, to confusion, to the deplorable spectacle of increased divisions, a proletariat weakened by divisions.

That is why, citizens, it seems to us essential to decide three preliminary questions before any decision can be taken upon the general Conference.

THREE QUESTIONS.

The first is this: Would you come to a Conference to work together in an attempt to strengthen our common action, or would you come to weaken and divide us?

speak of that primarily, because I have seen it myself I insist particularly upon the case of Georgia, and i to elect freely their delegates to the general Conference Georgians, be put in a position which will enable them their own fate, the Ukrainians, the Armenians, the who are at present deprived of all right to determine people gathering unanimously-or almost unanimously determined to help to live. I repeat the question: I electing an immense Socialist majority which wants to have seen, during weeks which we can never forget, a I am an eye-witness, we are eye-witnesses; we ourselves the general Conference takes place, will the Georgian live, which has the right to live, and which we are elect their delegates? Party and the other Parties I have referred to be free to -under the red flag, under the régime of free suffrage Secondly, if the Conference meets, will the peoples

There remains the third question, which we hold very dear, the question of the prisoners. I do not pretend to judge yet; you have accused them, you must prove you case, but we are compelled to reserve our judgment until we have heard you. We have a right to demand, and it is our duty to demand, that the trial of the Social Revolutionaries shall be held under conditions which will satisfy international Socialism, and which, above all, will guarantee elementary rights of defence. Ah

And don't say that you are not the Russian Government.

Iliverybody knows, and you were the first to say it, that
the actual Russian Government is identical with the
limited International. This would be your opportunity
to say: "We will bring our evidence, not before an
extraordinary tribunal functioning without control, but
before the Conference, before the International; and
then one of two things would happen: either your
accusations would be proved to be false and you would
be told so; or your accusations would be proved to be
fust and those convicted of criminal intentions would be
disqualified in the eyes of the proletariat.

Summing up then; guarantees against attempts at noyautage; guarantees for freely elected delegations from the peoples whose territory you are now occupying; finally, guarantees of rights of defence for the prisoners. Such are our conditions; for you cannot think that we could consent to take part with you in a Conference if we knew that over there in Moscow, in the prisons of Moscow, men who had suffered for our cause were still prisoners while we were discussing freely amongst ourselves. At a general Conference we must all feel at home, we cannot have as allies in the deliberations gendarmes and executioners.

FINAL APPEAL.

Citizens, this is what I have to say, in the name, as I repeat, of the Executive of the Second International. I have tried to express myself without bitterness—and I can testify that though we have often received insults, we have never replied to them; and I ask you in conclusion to consider what is at stake in these discussions. For the first time since 1914 we are united here. A sight like this is not without a certain grandeur, to see to-day in this assembly, whether as journalists or delegates, such men as Tchernov, Dan or Martov, side by side with Radek or Boukharin. We are united, and the assembly, citizens, is held in the very German

Reichstag where the war was begotten, where to-day free men sit on the ruins of autocracy. But this liberty which was so difficult to conquer remains precarious and unstable; it is threatened at once by reaction on the part of the employers, monarchist reaction, and militarist reaction. Can we not, in spite of everything which separates us, unite to defend it, to extend it, and by international action prepare the way for the coming of Socialism?

ON BEHALF OF THE VIENNA UNION.

The Executive of the International Union of Socialist Parties participates in this consultation of the three Executives according to the spirit and purpose of the resolutions of the International Conference in Vienna, held in February, 1921. The Vienna Conference formulated the principles of the I.U.S.P., stated the differences which separated the I.U.S.P. and the Second and the Communist Internationals, put before itself the goal to unite all Labour Parties without distinction in a common front against capitalism and imperialism, and devoted its entire activity to the achievement of this task.

The Executive of the I.U.S.P. greets the present Conference in the hope that it will be the first step towards the accomplishment of this object.

CONDITION OF SUCCESS.

In the determination to further with all its power the achievement of this end, the I.U.S.P. Executive is compelled emphatically to draw attention to the fact that the formation of a really united Labour Front such as the interests of the revolutionary struggle of Labour demands, can only succeed if the conflicts between

working class parties are conducted exclusively with intellectual and moral weapons, and are not poisoned by terroristic methods of combat of one workers' party against the other.

The Executive of the I.U.S.P. states the facts that in oviet Russia, under the dictatorship of the Communist Party, the masses of the working people are deprived of all political rights and Trade Union freedom; the locialist Parties are persecuted with terrorist means and deprived of all possibilities of existence; and that locialist Georgia has been robbed of her right of self-determination by military occupation.

The I.U.S.P. Executive considers it a necessary condition of a real united front of the whole proletariat that equality of political rights shall be restored to Socialist Parties of Russia, the freedom of political and economic activity to the workers and peasants of Russia, and the right of self-determination to the toiling people of Georgia.

The Executive of the I.U.S.P. draws special attention to the fact that the carrying out of death sentences against members of the Social Revolutionary Party of Russia for acts they are said to have committed four years ago in a time of open civil war, and which are included in several decrees of amnesty proclaimed by the Soviet Government, would make the continuation of the action started by this Conference morally impossible.

The Executive of the I.U.S.P. states further that in many capitalistic states, even in those in which Right Wing Socialists participate in the Government, Left Socialist and Communist Parties were brutally persecuted, and that Governments in which Right Wing Socialists participated violated the right of peoples to self-determination.

The Executive declares that it is an indispensable necessity for a united proletarian front that all Socialist Parties must use all their power to restore the full freedom of expression for the Communist and all Labour

Parties, and to enforce the liberation of the many victims of the revolutionary struggles who are still suffering in prisons.

UNITED FRONT IMPERATIVE.

The Executive of the I.U.S.P. is convinced that the necessities of the class struggle of the proletariat will lead to the victory of the idea of a united front and to the general recognition of these conditions. Confident of this, the Executive of the I.U.S.P. does not consider it advisable to discuss all these questions in dispute at the present meeting, which has only the purpose to prepare a common Conference on broader lines, and declares its readiness to enter the common consultation of the work to be done for the creation of the united proletarian front.

KARL RADEK.

In our declaration we put no conditions for the calling of an International Conference. We stand upon the basis of the invitation, the intention of the Two-and-a-Half International that this should be a Conference for action; we stand upon a basis which, it seems, the Vienna International has forgotten. We refrained from settling past accounts, not because we prefer to keep silence when something ought to be said, but for the simple reason that we saw the need of the hour, the general condition of the world proletariat, and said to ourselves: "It is foolish to begin recriminations at a time like this, we have to think about what we want to do."

REPLY TO VANDERVELDE.

The representative of the Second International, Vandervelde, on his part thought it necessary to draw

> a balance. He did it in the same voice, with the same nontures we saw at Basle ("Quite true!" from the Comwith phrases about confidence we say to you plainly: minimum of confidence, just a little; and if you cannot he comes to us and says: "A little confidence, a This balance does not exist for him. After this balance of corpses, he has forgotten the need of the world. has forgotten the sea of blood, he has forgotten the piles but which will not sound pleasant in the ears of the the balance which perhaps will break up this Conference, wishes it, we will draw the balance of the eight years, drowned in the roar of the cannon. If Vandervelde and we forgot for the moment that this voice had been that time when we believed in the warmth of his voice, munists), when he as leader took the oath to lead us into the way to an understanding; and if you come to us stand here to-day as enemies and have to try to find paid for this confidence, and this is the result, that we face: "Not a pennyworth of confidence!" We have discuss!" And we say to Citizen Vandervelde to his give us this confidence on credit we have nothing to former royal minister of the kingdom of Belgium. He Vandervelde's voice carried us back for a moment to the war against war; and the impressive strength of

CONFIDENCE

Confidence in what? In the war? Confidence in what? In the peace of Versailles which you signed as Belgian minister? Confidence in what? Confidence in whom? You speak in the name of an organisation. This organisation does not only consist of little Belgium. Confidence in the English occupation? Confidence in its crimes, against which the Labour Party has only fought in words, and about which the representatives of the Second International here have said nothing? The Social Revolutionary Tchaikin has published documents showing how the English police were responsible for the murder of twenty-six leaders of the Caucasian prole-

tariat. The names of the murderers were published. Did Citizen Tom Shaw call the attention of the English Parliament to the crimes of General Maleson and General Thomson? What action did you take with regard to the Archangel criminals? And then you say: confidence? This question is put by the Second International, amongst whose representatives here are members of the German Social Democracy.

We ask: "Where is the tribunal of all three Internationals which condemned the murder of Rosa Luxembourg and the murder of Karl Liebknecht?" ("Quite right!" from the Communists.) They were judged by the special tribunal of guards in Berlin, and if you have the courage to speak against the Russian courts, we say to you: "Hands off, until you have washed them clean of the blood of Rosa Luxembourg and Liebknecht, and the blood of Leviné, who was not murdered by bandits in the street, but as a result of a judgment in your courts (turning to the German Social Democrats), when you were in the Government as a result of the confidence of the proletariat which you abused."

A FIGHT WITHOUT CONFIDENCE.

But then you say: "If this is so, what do you want of this Conference, what tactical manœuvres are you after? And I will tell you boldly and clearly to your face what we want. You came to this Conference because you had to; you were the instruments of world reaction, and now, whether you want it or not, you must be the instruments of the struggle for the interests of the proletariat. And without confidence we say to you: we sit down at the same table with you, we will fight with you, and this fight will decide whether it is a manœuvre, as you say, in favour of the Communist International or a stream which will unite the working class. What you do will decide the meaning of our action. If you fight with us and with the proletariat of all lands—not fight for dictatorship, we do not expect

which, but fight for a crust of bread, fight against will come closer together, and then we shall judge you not on the ground of this terrible past, but on the ground of this terrible past, but on the ground of this terrible past, but on the ground of these negotiations, and into this could hearts into these negotiations, and into this number in this battle. But we will try to fight together, not for love of you, but because of the imprecedented need of the hour which drives us and compels you to confer in this hall with the very communists you have treated as criminals.

And now to the further conditions put by Citizen Vandervelde. What you have attempted here was on the part of the Second International a brusque attack in order to intimidate us, and the Vienna International has been used in, nolens volens, with a heavy heart. I refer to the conditions put by the gentlemen of the Second international, and I think you will not make much loast about the answer to these.

VERSAILLES TREATY

mon have shown.) So I have shown; quite right; and Itinnes mean? I am not a sufficiently gifted diplomaquite clear: does he, like Poincaré, want to discuss the communists.) Monsieur Vandervelde does not make it a pact with Soviet Russia and perhaps might finance might get richer, Stinnes who, we all know, has made mannot buy shirts and Citizen Vandervelde does not that to understand. (Loud laughter. meonstruction of the world without touching the the Communist International! (Laughter from the the need of the German people; he is afraid—Stinnes worry because international capitalism is fattening on Versailles treaty, or what else does his remark about this might also help Stinnes. The German workers the Versailles treaty. Conditions! Citizen Vandervelde said: You speak of En passant, he said he was afraid

so I ask: "Does the Second International want the question of the Versailles treaty put on the agenda?" It will be very interesting if the German Social Democrats, as members of the Second International, oppose the discussion of the Versailles question at this International Conference: perhaps they place their hopes in Lloyd George!

SMALL NATIONALITIES.

a member of the Rada which drove us out of the spite of the support of the German Government, were hopes to do with the help of the Entente. (Various Ukraine with the help of General Hoffman, as it nov Ukrainians. I do not know whether the interrupter wa and peasants who drove out the Petlura Government in and the recovery of the Russian people. (Interruption Federation, fight with us for the reconstruction of Russia Not the people of Ukraine!) I think that the worker will, not as a border State but as a part of the Soviet Ukraine is alive, it is healthy even if it is hungry, and Vandervelde's sleep. He may rest undisturbed; the Paris and from the International, who are spoiling Polish military staff and at the same time beg for life in Government, who live by injections of gold from the is fighting. And it is only ghosts of the Petlura the Ukraine, it is not murdered, it lives, it is strong, it us, Cain?'" I will tell Citizen Vandervelde quite plainly why we murdered this Banquo. With regard to the Ukraine will appear and ask: 'Why did you murden the Conference when the ghosts of murdered Georgia and live in the Congo, he asks us: "How can you appear at towards small and suppressed peoples, even when they With the great love which Vandervelde always displays Now I come to the remaining conditions put to us

With regard to Georgia, I do not know why Citizer Vandervelde should be so distressed because, instead of Tscheidze or Jordania, Medinani has appeared in Tiflis

commission to prove the authenticity of this document and if the Conference would like to set up a little we will very gladly submit it to them. of Georgia reads: "We have helped the Whites; we information given to Elexejew by the foreign minister Covernment itself was indiscreet enough to print. The the answer from the documents which the Georgian to overthrow the Georgian Government, we will give you If you ask why-and now we say it openly-we helped Georgian State too was built out of blood and iron. And the next Conference, so that you may learn that the a book, and in this book the Georgian democracy is but have fed your White officers and sent them to you;" have not only suppressed the Bolshevists in our country presented in such a way that we will bring this book to the leader of their national guards, to leave behind them were indiscreet enough, in the person of Mr. Dschugeli, kisten are not talented men-of-letters. The Georgians Georgia. (Contradictions and applause.) Our Tschewhen the Georgian Government under the protection of delegates: "Hands off Georgia!" You did not protest Second International, and especially to the English linglish hands. I say to the representatives of the or because Baku, that gate of invasion, is not in English canons massacred the peasants and workers of

POLITICAL PRISONERS

At the end came the most pathetic voice: How will you come without the Social Revolutionaries; how will you come without these good Internationalists who belong neither to the Second nor the Vienna International, but stand under the protection of the Second ask for admission to the Two-and-a-Half? We have the honour to see present in this assembly, as a nournalist, the former representative of the Russian constituent Assembly, Tchernoff; and I think if you want to discuss the Social Revolutionaries with us there

abroad; we need not seek further than this Conference those who, revolver in hand, tried to murder the leaders of the Russian Revolution. (SCHREIDER: Spiridonova!) Please put your questions to Spiridonova, I have no reason to go into the matter.

ACTION v. DISCUSSION

Noske; the whole world knows that. We will present Eberlein did not murder fifteen thousand workers, but answer you can make. proletarians in Germany?" And we shall see what We will ask you: "Who murdered fifteen thousand police, at the head of which stands a Social Democrat? a million pesetas, to be distributed amongst the Berlin Government, in which are your representatives, for halwas handed over to the Spanish gallows by the German will ask you: "What about the murder of Dato, who (Wels: Eberlein!) Ask Horsing; he will tell you. We the German prisons as a result of the March action have been sitting for three years, that there are men in the Bavarian prisons men who fought for the Republic Social Democrats who cry "Hands off Russia" that in demand a discussion. We will remind the German to present you with the balance of eight years and are willing to clear the whole matter up, we are willing are not accustomed to avoid discussion. Therefore we giving the proletariat stones instead of bread." But we national Conference for discussion, we say: "You are wreck this Conference of action and have an Interthe different sections of the proletariat. If you want to common struggle which will build the bridge between will ever be smoothed out, it can only be through a methods, are certainly not going to help. If differences ourselves: debates over points of controversy, over of a Conference in preparation for action. We said to the Vienna International we have proposed the calling the question as it actually stands: in agreement with Allow me now to leave the sphere of politics and put (Wels: Ask Eberlein!

the account for every country; for if we are sinners, you are the representatives of a dozen Parties, my worthy friends, whose sins surpass imagination.

and in the March action." (TCHERNOFF: Blackmail!) sacred for the men who fought for the Bavarian Republic say: "Show us that you are better men than we are; about the fate of the Social Revolutionary prisoners, we autonomists in prison, and the tender-hearted Wels our programme. Do you want to discuss it-we are to do about the wave of capitalist lock-outs? That is I will answer you in such a way that you will forget the offer us an exchange—the Russian Terrorists you hold so ready for discussion. But as for satisfying the delicate reconstruct the world, but to plunder the whole world. this moment when capital is gathering together, not to in a common struggle, certain results will follow, for of the proletariat have no confidence at all in you. we have presented no conditions, although nine-tenths have conditions imposed upon us by people to whom any form. One thing, however, we cannot allow: to we are also ready. We are ready for a Conference in proletarian struggle will be set up one against the other, If you want a Congress at which the methods of the If you want a Congress to consider action, we are ready. Conference—you will have to bear the responsibility! word! (Uproar.) We say: do you want to wreck the Whoever talks of blackmail is a man without any sense. feelings of Vandervelde, who is still keeping Flemish What are we to do about unemployment, what are we for action, a Conference to decide what is to be done at out of the common struggle, and this is why we are in which no pledge need be given: for they will develop you: we refuse these conditions. If we come together fulfilled before we go to this Conference, then we say to you: If you put conditions to us, conditions to be (Interruption from Wels and excitement.) I say to favour of the common struggle. Summing up, then, we say: We propose a Conference

I repeat: we have accepted the initiative of the

put by Vandervelde? None whatever. that Radek's reply was a reasoned reply to the questions delegates of the Third International—can go and report raised. What delegate here—and I include the be a reasoned reply upon the merits of the questions

"WHY ARE YOU HERE?"

are saying to your own organisations: "Do not bother you are saying that to the general public of Europe you "We are in favour of the united front"; but whilst you to go to the working classes of Europe and say: which will not really be a united front, but will enable Are you here for the purpose of creating a united front ask you as man to man: Is that why you are here? anxious to promote co-operation, but we come here to it has raised suspicions in our minds. We come here you would think much less of us than I hope you dopublished in full, we have studied it, and naturally—or language aright? We have it in English, it has been the Third International. Am I not interpreting its was your manifesto. Again I appeal to the delegates of organisations taking part in the movement." That Communists through direct propaganda inside the effective, but for the purpose of strengthening the of the united front, not for the purpose of making it sections all over Europe to take part in the creation appear to be in favour of it; but we ask the Communist we are in favour of it or not; our tactics compel us to Europe for a united front. It does not matter whether Third International, there is a movement on foot in was not a good one. It was this: "Comrades of the Third International last December. That explanation front, in the manifesto issued by the Executive of the You had given us an explanation of this change in your we had been delivering for the last three or four years. We saw in your statement an echo of the speeches which thought the Third International talked in our language. as I say, opened up the door for an understanding. We On Sunday morning we had a statement made which,

> such as this? it? If you can't, why carry on the farce of a Conference removed. If you can remove it, why don't you remove reserve, that suspicion is in my mind, and I want it of our own." Candidly, my friends, without any weakening of other organisations and the strengthening about the united front, except so far as it goes to the

AN ESSENTIAL TO ACTION.

agree to the formulation of resolutions to be carried by agree to the calling of a general Conference; how can we of the Third International, it has everything to do with to do with the united action we propose?" Delegates and quite rightly: "Would you tell us what that has that Conference? If we start our action what sort of it. Suppose this manifesto holds good, how can we is not merely a question of suspicion. You say to us, good? Would you be good enough to answer that question in a categorical and definite way? Because this December statement of the Third International holds your name and on your behalf to mean that the Radek's attitude and the pronouncement he made in this, that you have nothing to say? Are we to take said nothing. Are we to interpret his silence to mean you have come here in war? Upon that point Radek know whether you have come here in peace or whether of it? In the words of an old Scotch song, I want to to call a Conference with you, what is to be the issue own sakes? When you ask us to come to Genoa and favour of a united front for its own sake and not for your tion on Sunday morning to mean this. Are you in studied them, and we believed that they might be the has begun. We knew it had to come; we regretted that beginning of real united action, and I took your declarahave to come. We have read Lenin's speeches, we have you had put yourselves in such a position that it would last few months. In Russia the great strategic retreat have happened to the Communist movement during the I hoped you were going to remove it. Many things

action will it be if we feel that every Communist, while carrying it out, has a dagger concealed behind his back which he is going to put into us if we give him an opportunity? You must see that until you have given us an explanation of the meaning of that manifesto it is absurd to talk about a united front or common resolutions to issue in common action.

GEORGIA

of discovering the truth. We ask for an honest enquiry. commission goes, it will go impartially for the purpose I can assure you, so far as we are concerned, if such a give them a chance of enquiring and issuing a report. send a commission representative of Socialist tendencies; possibilities of future action? We offer you a proposal: men of common sense could take up when discussing the I put it to you: is not our position the only position that have a chance of saying whether they want you or us. country is withdrawn and the people of the country military occupation which has excluded them from the responsible is re-established—or at any rate until the together until the Government for which we are to-day by military force. We say: How can we act military force. You hold your position now there a delegate sitting at this table. You suppressed it by responsible for that Government is represented here by Government is affiliated to us; the party in Georgia ment. The party in Georgia responsible for that essential fact is that Georgia had a Socialist Governare saints. Therefore put that on one side. The it would be very easy to establish your claim that you at all sure that if we appointed an impartial commission thing essential: though its sins be as scarlet, I am not the future. But in the case of Georgia there is somesee whether there is any possibility of united action for Georgia. I have come here to do serious business, to in particular. I am not going to go into details about raised the question of border States, mentioning Georgia There is another essential point. Vandervelde

BOLSHEVIST IMPERIALISM.

Seriously, comrades, that kind of reply is trifling with united front of the economic movement. We come with the character of his reply, is not such as to make it easy this Conference. the Bolshevists shot a few more by way of reprisals. by some British officers! But Radek did not tell us how Instead of that, Radek starts telling us about shootings front of us, and ask for a reasoned reply to our questions. all that experience behind us, and all the difficulties in have a united front. Nationalism must disrupt the dominant in the minds of the working classes we cannot this spirit of nationalism. So long as that is prepolicy in Georgia and neighbouring States is maintaining having to unravel some nationalist tangle or other. Your the old International was that we never met without tions on nationalism. One of the greatest troubles of International Conferences, you produced your resoluknow perfectly well that when you came to meet us in to subject nationalities under Austria-Hungary. You by all this? I am speaking to men who have belonged who believe in justice. Moreover, what are you doing case and in the next that of Socialist comrades—people up the position of capitalist imperialist militarism in one by the Allied capitalist Governments to-day in Ireland, co-operation. Your reply, defending your action in for us to continue exploring opportunities of further Egypt, and to Russia itself. You cannot possibly take Georgia, is not only militarist, but similar to that applied In any event, the position taken up by Radek, and

POLITICAL PRISONERS

The third question upon which Vandervelde asked for information was with reference to prisoners. What is the position there? You hold those men in your prisons, and you are going to try them. Vandervelde asks: "Will you satisfy the International Socialist movement as to the nature of the trial?" Radek replies and tries to stir up our feelings by mentioning

comrades? Your strategy is too refined. Your tactics our comrades in your hands because they are our are not quite sure about, whilst you hold the lives of sit and talk about an action, the genuiness of which we going to be put in the background, that we are going to opinions. But can you imagine us saying that this is all any rate until the issue is settled we both hold our disagree. You may be right. We may be right. are here. You object both to them and to us. Those men are our colleagues. Their representatives Radek and his friends must respect us for taking it up. do it? Our position is quite clear, and, again, I am sure renewed strength and interest to you. Why do you not a generous thing, it will come back a thousandfold in so hard to build up. If you would just for a moment do in the foundation of the Government you have striven you an ounce of respect outside, to lay one single stone perfectly well it is not going to strengthen you, to give you go and copy the same thing yourselves? You know responsible for Rosa Luxembourg's murder. Why do tyranny. You say the Government in power here was trying to liberate Russia from what they considered a those prisoners you hold trying to do? Radek agrees to that way of stating it. What were tyranny. (Interruption: You agree?) I am glad that liberate Germany from what she considered to be a Luxembourg try to do? Rosa Luxembourg tried to the name of Rosa Luxembourg. What did Rosa They were

What is our proposal? A very simple and reasonable one. We say: "Try them by an international tribunal." Government. Hands off!" May I confess, I agree with Radek on that point. We make another suggestion. Saying that you are going to do that, but satisfy us that system of judicature can claim universal respect if it is designed only to satisfy that nation itself. We come to

you and say: "Try them by a judicial court and agree that they will be properly defended." We propose to you that you should allow Vandervelde to go to make himself responsible for the defence of those prisoners. We are in a position to say that if you accept that, the compatriots of those men will agree. We put that question quite categorically to you again.

over. So long as you say: "We are going to give them treatment of a problem or a reasonable answer to a which Radek dealt with it, a rather painful way. It a political and not necessarily a judicial trial "-which In any event the Bavarian prisoners are not ours to hand will not consider the problem from that point of view. Bavaria and we will hand you over these men." request. He says: "Hand us over the prisoners in reminded me far more of a cynical gesture than a serious indeed, and we will not even think of it. prisoners is a very objectionable form of commercialism justice, not commercialism; commercialism applied to breathe the same judicial atmosphere; we must have be dealt with. If we are going to co-operate we must is not the frame of mind in which the subject ought to exchange this prisoner for that," we say "No." This naturally. But if you say: "Bargain man for man, prisoners, we agree that you raise the question quite you raise the question of the German Communist barriers to common action that cannot be removed. If wrong it is the fault of Radek's "gesture"—there are I take to be the substance of Radek's reply—if I am I cannot leave this without a reference to the way in

These are our three questions. We put them again. We ask: Is Radek's word the last word that the Third International has to say upon it?

A CRITICISM OF THE THIRD'S PROPOSAL.

I will not deal with the practical proposals as to how the Conference should be constituted. I think you must have felt yourselves the absurdity of asking Emma Goldman to come and meet Samuel Gompers. Do you

that there will be no misunderstanding about our business. Therefore I am instructed by the Second International to read to you this written declaration, so When we have settled those, then we can come to ideas—justice, self-respect, harmony and co-operation. about tactics, we must get down to the principles of great mental conditions are unfulfilled. It is no use talking narrow for common action? Yes, so long as the fundafundamental that points of agreement become too plane? We cannot agree. Are our disagreements so enough in common to give us room to move in the same possible. I do not want it. The problem is, have we Radek that what he calls absolute confidence is imtives of the Third International itself. I quite agree with do, and nobody knows that better than the representataken you at the face value of your words? No, it won't begin to assassinate us one after the other for having to those of us who are working for united action and going to apply the manifesto of the Third International and completely laughable and foolish farce, then are you not a Conference of the united front, but an absolutely cilable elements, when you have a Conference which is delighted the press for a week with a display of irreconcapitalists to laugh at? And then, when you have will make the whole international movement a thing for your policy that you are going to call a Conference that want the Conference to be a bear garden? Is it part of

DECLARATION OF THE SECOND.

agenda proposed by the Vienna Union. a limited objective, under the conditions and with the "We accept the principle of a general conference with

by the Third International: preceded by the acceptance of the following conditions "We declare, however, that this conference must be

of the three Executive Committees for the examination " (2) Nomination of a commission from the delegates "(1) Renunciation of the 'noyautage' tactics.

> stanced, with a view to reaching an agreement between of the case of Georgia and other States similarly circumthe Socialist Parties:

hold good. military influence, their own form of government, still recognition of the Georgian people to decide, free from that the resolutions we have passed demanding the the conference, but wish it to be plainly understood "We make this suggestion with the desire to expedite

criminal accusation before a court of justice with rights reasons, and the trial of those who are the objects of a of defence under the control of International Socialism." "(3) The liberation of prisoners detained for political

TACTICS V. THE THIRD.

agree upon an agenda. The Vienna Union has given us some sort of agreement is come to upon them, this upon resolutions dealing with the findings of Genoa, give that it accepts it. I believe, further, we could agree one, and the Second International has officially stated conference can be held before the summer is ended. position, is most detestable. No man in this conference starvation of Europe as ground for manœuvring. not going to enter into tactics and use the poverty and its knocks upon us may be all the more deadly. I am going to use smooth words to bring us closer to it so that perfectly clear, in a published declaration, that it is Executive of the Third International has made it effort as a result; but I cannot unite in action whilst the industrial parties, a common objective to co-operative the Parliamentary parties, the political parties, and (I express here my own personal view.) I believe we can joining in this united action or are we not? Are we ought to use his influence in support of disruptive tactics decline it. Tactics at the present time, manœuvring for going to have "working" confidence in one another? in view of the present position. All I ask you is: Are we Are we going to put an end to the things which make it In my opinion, if those conditions are accepted, or if

impossible for us to co-operate one with another? If not, let us go our separate ways. You have your work to do, we have ours. You must go on, we must go on. I can assure you that we are going to act alone or in conjunction. We have acted, we are going to continue it is more effective inside and outside Parliament. Time and events will strengthen those who are doing the most loyal service to the world.

ERRATI

The position of the Italian Socialist Party in this Conference is very strange. We do not belong to either guests, under conditions still more strange. You the general Conference might be held at Genoa or Rome; means that our position is so uncertain that I must ask Why have we summoned their conference might be means that our position is so uncertain that I must ask Why have we summoned that it is the strange of the conference might be means that our position is so uncertain that I must ask

Why have we summoned this Conference? Why did We think good to hold the preliminary Conference at Frankfort? Why have we all come here, from London, noble wish, on the part of comrade Adler—or, rather, together here.

OUR PURPOSE.

In a word, is the character of the Conference to be a moral one or a practical and political one? Are we here to set ourselves up as judges one of another, or to action on the part of the proletariat against the duestion must be put.

We are surely not here to set ourselves up as judges one of another. We have all committed many errors.

Perhaps—if you will allow me to say so, dear comrade

49

Vandervelde—the judges have committed more errors than the accused, because the judges have committed them in alliance with our enemies. The accused committed errors for the sake of the revolution and not of the bourgeoisie.

THE STRUGGLE.

guards are becoming more and more of a menace, and you have participated in the Government, the civil country? Do you not see that in Belgium, too, where reaction which is growing fiercer and fiercer in every is the position. Are you not disturbed about the more and more to reconstruct bourgeois economy. This that in the factories the bourgeoisie is working against Democrats will be obliged to crush the German workers way, the bourgeoisie will prevail, and the Social divided; and if in Germany they continue in the same in a short time the Belgian proletariat will also be the proletariat in France continue as they have begun, you, because there are always political reverberations to matter of life and death; it is for us; and it is also for be felt in every country. And if the divisions among other countries where the unity of the proletariat is a the same conditions exist in England. But there are desire for unity should not be greater than ours. And recent elections; the proletarian movement in Belgium is united. I quite understand, therefore, that your fairly good. The Communists even voted for you at the position of the proletarian movement in Belgium is concerned about the present situation, because the stand that in a certain sense you are not so deeply countries, dear comrade Vandervelde. I quite undercountries; more particularly in the "democratic" the "democratic" countries as well as the imperialist The bourgeoisie is on the defensive in every country, in tions which we have won by many years of struggle. trying to take away from us the relatively good condithe burdens they have destroyed. The bourgeoisie is trying to load again upon the shoulders of the proletariat What is the actual situation? The bourgeoisie is

the workers? And you have no strength to resist this bourgeois reaction. Do you not see that in other countries reaction is organising itself just as fiercely as it did before the war?

MORALS AND POLITICS.

have a right to raise moral questions against the you shared responsibility. And now you consider you used against the proletariat by governments with whom choose your weapons; and lying, violence, terror, were which means that when you are fighting you cannot accepted the motto of Clemenceau, "I am waging war," you went into the war-which we opposed-you morals and politics; but to say this is demagogy. When well that you will say that it is impossible to separate gathering of moralists or of politicians. I know very could not help asking myself whether we were here in a this morning to comrade MacDonald's speech, but I With a moral question. I listened with great interest How do you reply to this proposal for a united front? must create a united front, must re-organise itself. whether they adhere to London, Vienna or Moscow-If this is true, no one can deny that the proletariat

Ah! you say: "Freedom for peoples to dispose of themselves!" But Sir Roger Casement was condemned responsible. To-day he is considered a martyr in the cause of the Irish national revolution. When you were waging war, lying was a noble thing! Terror was You have always to the war had to be carried on!

You have always lauded to the skies the bourgeois French Revolution. But we cannot imagine the French Revolution without the guillotine, without the Terror. If I recall these historic facts, it is because you and all of us must take them into account. Perhaps if the

position had been reversed, if you had been in the same straits as the Russian comrades, you would have acted in the same way. It is not possible to play the part of

thances. We do not rule history; we follow it. And then, what are these three conditions which you have put to the Bolshevist comrades, and under what conditions do you seek to impose them?

THE CONDITIONS OF THE SECOND

If the Russian Revolution rested on a firm basis, perhaps you would be right in putting these conditions and saying: Do not abuse your power! But you put these conditions at a terrible moment for the Revolution, when the international bourgeoisie, having failed by armed methods, is now trying to suppress the Revolution by worse methods; at a moment when it behoves us all to defend the Revolution even against the Bolshevists themselves if this is necessary, even against ourselves; telling them that they are committing errors but not weakening their front against their enemies, who are also ours. You have not taken into account the international situation, and, in consequence, of the international proletariat.

not to force the situation. Our duty should be to is our duty as internationalists not to put conditions, bourgeoisie. But because this is becoming possible, it proletarian revolution against the attack of the capitalist direction. I think they will do more. I am persuaded Social Revolutionaries, will unite one day to defend the coalition: that you Bolshevists, you Menshevists, you that the Bolshevist comrades will eventually form a Bolshevist comrades have already done something in this force which is not outside us but is our very own? The not see that, without realising it, you are weakening a accept such a discussion in a meeting like this? Do you examine this condition? Can the Bolshevist comrades out their political work in Russia. Is it possible to freedom for the Social Revolutionary comrades to carry I believe, you spoke of internal liberty; that is to say, Let us examine your three conditions. First of all,

understand and to try to act in such a way as not to embitter discussions; not to deepen wounds, but to seek to cure them as far as we can. How can we cure the wounds?

THE PRISONERS.

A month ago, the Social Revolutionaries sent us a telegram in which they said: "The prisoners in Butirky are going to be put to death, before the Conference, unless the International does its duty immediately." I do not know whether the intention of the Bolshevists really was to kill them all.

our duty and to our interests to lead them along our paths? I think the second method is the best. bring them back to their old methods, or is it not rather duty and is it to our political interests to do all we can to already begun to take steps in that direction, is it our situation must be changed, if it is true that they have that Lenin has recognised that the internal political those practices of which you accuse them, if it is true impose. And if it is true that they are already giving up must submit to the necessities which circumstances It does not depend upon the will of the Bolshevists, they the Conference will be more successful than is expected. You do not want to poison the situation. I believe that procedure of the "Tcheka" would have to be changed. condition, declared in a speech that the methods of also true that Lenin, before you had put your first flowers, it does what it can and what it must. But it is disposal; it cannot be an elegant lady who walks upon and it might be said that we have had some examples of be in this room something of the spirit of the "Tcheka," have not mentioned the "Tcheka," but there seems to not fit them. But the Bolshevists have done more. You interests lie without putting upon them a cap which does We ought to endeavour to show them where their own Revolution always uses the means at its

GEORGIA.

Let us examine the second condition. It concerns the

Entente has attempted to do, is not socialist. It serves sanitaire " from Finland to the Emirat of Faisal, as the destruction, the desire to create a kind of "cordon for the stabilisation of frontiers rather than their For example, I believe that the desire for divisions, according to my opinion, is not altogether socialist. their own fate." We might discuss this formula; which, And then you say: "Liberty for peoples to determine Socialist Party belongs to the London International. but now it is a case of Georgia, the case of a people whose will prevent it!" You tried to prevent Zimmerwald; been a cry of unity from the proletariat, you said: "We to determine their own fate, an idea which might have which was not their own, the idea of the right of peoples peoples and, to obtain this peace, launched an idea tried to stop the war, who wanted to give peace to the velde, that when there were Socialists in the world who liberty of Georgia. Remember, dear comrade Vanderthe interests of imperialism, not of socialism.

Silesia, Georgian Socialists in your London International? Simply because you have a representative of the enquiry for Georgia, and not, for example, for Upper all commissions of enquiry? And why a commission of tions and unable to judge fairly, as has happened with a commission of enquiry, meeting under artificial condia problem, which is a century-old problem, by means of time ago? Do you think it will be possible to resolve Georgia to day? What was the position in Georgia some for proletarian interests. Now what is the position in of international capitalism to work for capitalist and not international imperialist politics, mere tools in the hands small peoples may become, at a certain moment of them for a long time, just as they have seen how the Near East, before. I think that socialists have known Azerbaidjan, Persia, and all the other countries of the We have met the problems of Georgia, Armenia, Tunis, Algeria, Tripoli, the Congo, etc.?

It is not enough! It is true that there are grave problems in a country which was administrated by a

Socialist Government, or one which called itself Socialist, and which has been invaded by the army of another Socialist Government, or one which calls itself Socialist.

ONLTY.

the international situation. from another, but to consider them in their relation to We ought not to examine national questions isolated one work in the interests of the international movement. between one section and another. The Conference must charged with the task of smoothing out differences which might be elected by the Conference, might be be put to the general Conference. The general Bureau, Italian delegation, no preliminary conditions ought to capitalist régime. According to the convictions of the but all other problems which can be solved under a possible for us to resolve, not only the Georgian problem, to build up this unity of front, this unity will make it else. If, on the other hand, we remain together, begin Moscow, the strife will go on in Georgia and everywhere some of us to London, some to Vienna, and some to to-day, if we go away without reaching an agreement, come to some agreement. If we break off the Conference political action, which can only be carried through if we fortnight. We ought to consider our international is obvious that we cannot resolve such a question in a I do not want to support one side or the other, but it

NOYAUTAGE.

Let us examine the third question. You ask the Communists: What do you want to do? Do you mean dates and am not proof against poison. But I believe poison. We try to overcome it. Perhaps we shall not another kind of poison? I ask myself if, when it was a question of collaboration with the bourgeoisie, you

"Machiavellian." And to live they must remain in the alone have shown themselves to be real statesmen. The situation. It is true that the Bolshevists have sometimes out some special task of propaganda in the general comrades who are in agreement with us in order to carry are not yet cured. What is this noyautage? It is someright to reproach you for your attitude in the past, other hand, the Bolshevist comrades have no absolute march together!" Guesde, and you, Vandervelde (Paul Faure: And Cachin.) Yes, Cachin too. I was country, for its defence, for the common good, let us all revolutionary poison. No! You said then: "For our same way as you want to fortify yourselves against the serve for the defence of the Russian Revolution. Is it that their position to-day is not that of two years ago. produced real statesmen. These men will understand working for the defence of the Revolution itself. The defend their cause, when we show them that we too are stronger if we are divided than if we are united. I carried it out in a very malicious way. (A voice: You thing that we all do when we want to get together those whether you would be poisoned or not. You took the just going to say it. You did not trouble yourselves fortified yourselves against the bourgeois poison in the want to live, even by the means which you call possible that they could wish to destroy it? No, they bourgeoisie has produced politicians, the proletariat has the most painful, the most dangerous situations, they Bolshevists know how to be skilful in their politics. In Bolshevist comrades no longer find themselves alone to believe there will be no more noyautage when our both as judge and accused. But noyautage will be know something about that.) I think so. I am here have drunk poison; who have drunk a great deal and know how long you will feel the consequences. On the poison. And you are still suffering from it. I do not united front and remain there in the spirit of brothers They ought to know that if we effect this unity it will because they, too, have among their ranks those who

because they cannot do otherwise in view of the international situation.

THE ITALIAN POINT OF VIEW.

Comrades, I think I have said in a few words what was necessary to explain our point of view, the point of view of the Italian Socialists. I must add that this is the standpoint of all the Italian Socialists, who at their last up by comrade Treves and myself.

an agreement. we cannot leave this Conference without having reached proletariat feel that we realise our duty and our interests, develop this practical action, to make the international the burdens of the war. If we want, dear comrades, to Germany its policy of loading upon the proletariat all cover of your responsibility, is trying to carry out in your shoulders, Social Democratic comrades, and under to invade Russia; and at the same time, climbing upon You have to defend your positions. Capitalism is trying doxical this may sound, you are in very similar positions. Russian Bolshevists. However contradictory and parain agreement are the German Social Democrats and the in this practical action those who need above all to be of a new war which is in preparation. And I think that country. We have to anticipate and prevent the danger infamy and a heavy burden upon the proletariat of every abrogation of the Treaty of Versailles, which is an struggling against world reaction; for the revision or the necessity for defending the Russian Revolution; for desired throughout the world; you are all agreed about to work together, for practical action. Such action is still alive in spite of all our errors. We must all be ready said: "The war will destroy Socialism." Socialism is knows how long. At the beginning of the war it was imperialism over the workers' International, for who we cannot, it may mean a victory for capitalist mean the salvation of the international proletariat. If if we can realise our goal of a general Conference, it will One word more. If we can go on with this Conference,

Tuesday, April 4th, 1922.

AFTERNOON SESSION, 4-15 p.m.

President: FRIEDRICH ADLER (Austria).

OTTO BAUER

Otto Bauer (Austria): The task which we have set before us at this Conference is to bring together the three armies into which the proletariat has been unfortunately divided, so that they may be able once more to march together against the common enemy, and, united, defeat that enemy.

SERRATI.

comradeship in arms. So far, then, I agree with Macorganisations and to substitute the relationship of real co-operation. Donald that we must also seek moral conditions for to eliminate the spirit of competition between the three us, if we are to secure common action, must be gradually interests of the working-class, without which we cannot ness to sacrifice individual party interests to the general It seems to me without a doubt that the real task before hope to wage a really united proletarian class struggle. feelings of solidarity and comradeship, and that readigradually learn to re-awaken in these three armies those established, and effective unity of front unless we that we shall certainly not be able to attain a real, firmly upon certain moral conditions. I agree with MacDonald point: co-operation between the three armies depends confess that I do not entirely agreed with Serrati on this moral considerations in our deliberations. I must problem as a purely strategic one, and to put aside all Comrade Serrati asked us this morning to consider this

Ö

THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL.

But, comrades, I disagree with my comrades of the Second International, because I believe that these moral conditions must be sought further and in a more comprehensive form than the comrades of the Second International have so far suggested during this Conference; and in the second place, I believe that the way to reach these moral conditions is quite different from the way sought by the comrades of the Second International.

MORAL CONDITIONS

direction of the liberation of peoples is an historical step imperialism or another for its own ends. forward, although it may be used at the moment by one far as to consider that even a partial success in the nations, we support this principle absolutely, and go so and violate the right of self-determination of other because our bourgeoisie tried to dominate other nations We German-Austrians, who have suffered ourselves to self-determination. I cannot agree with him there. spoken somewhat sceptically about the right of peoples of self-determination in the case of the Georgian Republic has been violated. Comrade Serrati has quoting this as a barrier to co-operation, that the right peoples to self-determination, and they complain, organisations united here should respect the right of demanding as a condition for co-operation that all the tions themselves. In my opinion they are quite right in First, let me speak of the first point, the moral condi-

GEORGIA.

On these grounds alone it can be seen that there is no divergence of opinion between us and the Second International on the question of Georgia. And I will go further. Since 1914 there have been many violations of this principle. We know how the war began with the attempt of Austria-Hungary to violate it; we know how examples of such violation were given by Austria-

Hungary and Germany during the war by the infamous treaties of Brest-Litovsk and Bucharest; we know how this example was followed by the victors after the war in the treaties of Riga in the East and of Versailles and Trianon and Sèvres and St. Germain in the West. We admit, however, that the case of Georgia stands apart from all these others, because here there were proletarian and socialist parties on both sides, who were responsible for what happened; because it was an army flying the red flag which in this case supported the military occupation; because whenever the proletariat now raises a protest against the violent deeds of imperialism it is met with a scornful reference to Georgia. ("Quite right!" from the representatives of the Second and Vienna.)

OTHER CASES

comrades of the Second International. (" Very true!" Socialist Parties participate, which are affiliated to you, principle has been violated by Governments in which applies to a whole number of other cases, where the respected, this certainly applies to Georgia. But it also parties, that self-determination of peoples shall be the united front of the proletariat and all the proletarian comrades, if this is a moral condition, which I admit, for nations, and such attempts are still going on. And, violated in the case of small nations and also great Moscow.) There are other cases. This principle is being from representatives of Vienna and Moscow.) cases still belong, have not also been responsible? Socialist Parties, to which you belonged and in some determination which we have experienced since 1918 every case of the violation of the right of peoples to self-Second International, can you seriously say that in of the violation of the right of peoples to self-determination. But, however true this may be, comrades of the (" Very true!" from the representatives of Vienna and Georgia is in quite a different category from other cases I have gone further, then, and said that the case of

POLITICAL PRISONERS.

Parties should have been directed. for them too the whole strength of the proletarian in a time of open civil war; towards securing amnesty workers, good comrades, also because of acts committed prisons to-day which hold revoluntionary fighters, have passed through a phase of revolution, there are still I would remind you that in other lands, too, which against the realisation of the proletarian united front, must have known what difficulties this would raise circumstances, in a time of open civil war, although they perpetrated four years ago, under totally different began preparations for criminal trials for acts had proclaimed the watchword of the united front they of the most incomprehensible phenomena in the Soviet policy is this, that at the very moment that their Party not only in Russia. And if we have to admit that one Socialists and the working class is very considerable, prisoners also in other lands, where the power of the have the power there to hinder it. But there are Socialist Parties are responsible for them, because none Roumania, Hungary, Poland. It might be said that no munist and other revolutionary workers in Jugo-Slavia, I will not speak of the infamous persecutions of Com-Socialist Parties in Russia. But, comrades, this again is a general question, not only a question for Russia. citizenship should not be given to all proletarian and idea of the proletarian united front, that full rights of we consider it entirely impossible, incompatible with the and the Left Social Revolutionaries have suffered. And our comrades of the Russian Social Democratic Party Government, and we know very well what persecutions recently been released from the prisons of the Soviet Here at our table are sitting comrades who have only Parties in Russia is less near to our hearts than yours. Second International, that the treatment of Socialist political prisoners. You will not think, comrades of the question which lies near our hearts, the question of I hold very similar opinions with regard to the other

This is one side of the question. The other is, the way in which the moral conditions can be established. We quite agree with our comrades of the Second International on these points: the re-establishment of the right of Georgia to self-determination; the restoration of full freedom in the political and trade union activities of all Socialist Parties in Russia: certainly, these are the moral conditions for the united front, but how are you going to arrive at these conditions? Will you formulate your conditions and expect the other Party to accept them?

ACTION BEFORE GUARANTEES

I confess that I do not think that is the right way. The united front will be accomplished in quite a different way, and above all the moral conditions will be reached in quite a different way. Let the masses first fight together, whatever their different political convictions may be, then I am convinced that in this common struggle, on the common battlefield, the feeling of comradeship and solidarity will develop, until no proletarian Party will allow itself to oppose the fulfilment of these moral conditions. (Applause from Vienna and Moscow.) Let the proletariat fight together to-day, while the possibilities exist; then I am convinced that the proletariat will demand everywhere, irrespective of their Party organisation, possibilities for common struggle everywhere.

CAPITALISM TRIUMPHANT.

When are we uttering these conditions? We all know, and I need not dwell upon this fact, the conditions of the world proletariat to-day. We all know the great international offensive of capitalism, which has just advanced in a giant struggle against the English engineers, and which we can see too in every other land. Everywhere we see the system of imperialism disturbing the economy of the whole world.

white guards of Horthy and the Magyar Fascisti. treaty of Trianon and handing over the weapons to the are defying all the disarmament decisions of the peace proletariat, whilst at the same time in Hungary they eager to wrest the last weapon out of the hands of the see so clearly in Austria, these victorious Powers are of the victorious military Powers is protecting the interests of the national bourgeoisie; or when, as we we see daily, the whole military and economic strength us when we quarrel over conditions, at a time when, as Balkan States, just created in Europe, will understand that our comrades, the working masses in the new defenceless, supports reaction. How can you think very imperialism in the small countries, which are won through their trade unions. And we see how this wrest from the proletariat everything that they have attack upon the elementary rights of the proletariat, to is misused not only to force down wages, but for an countries out of industry; and then this unemployment effect of which is to drive the proletariat in other the whole of Central Europe into a slave-market, the capitalist and imperialist policy, which is trying to turn right of France and Belgium has been abused by a Junker in 1914. But, comrades, we also see how this diplomacy, the Hungarian gentry, and the Prussian of which they were victims, after the most terrible crime in the world's history, committed by Austrian every right to claim compensation for the devastation Certainly, comrades, we of the International Working Union are convinced that France and Belgium have

Face to face with these conditions we begin our discussions, at a time when the capitalist Governments history has shown us clearly the inability of these life, and to them the task of economic reconstruction is great nations of Europe such as hitherto only the Bey of Tunis might have attempted.

ACTION POSSIBLE.

continue their policy. (Applause from Vienna and doing, comrades, I believe we should sadly disappoint conditions and the other had refused them. In so that we should leave this Conference with nothing else Genoa Conference. ("Quite right" from Moscow.) I am convinced that, however much we may disagree meet as speedily as possible. And further, we think it Moscow.) We consider it imperative that the general the capitalist Governments meeting in Genoa to the proletariat of every land, whatever Party they may to tell the world proletariat but that we could not come these conditions, and to me it seems quite impossible views in opposition to the capitalist conspiracy. certain big and decisive questions, setting forth our about conditions, we might issue common resolutions on demonstrate their common will with regard to the a common appeal to the proletariat asking them to desirable, if possible before the Conference, to send out Conference which we are trying to arrange here should belong to, and at the same time we should encourage to an understanding, because one side had imposed We are carrying on our negotiations face to face with

of the Second International. Do not ask the Comimpossible. I make a further appeal to the comrades like now to appeal to both sides not to make this what they are going to do. That is the important is no good speculating, however interesting it may be munists what they want. That may soon change. struggle against Capitalism, the tragic position of the their terrible need, the necessity for a defensive convinced that the actual conditions of the proletariat, not tell you. But it does not matter. I am quite manœuvres have been planned in Moscow, Radek will thing. I am quite convinced that, whatever to do so, as to what our comrades want; ask them Every day in Moscow they take up a new position. It Soviet Republic, will compel the Communist Inter-This is what appears necessary to all of us. I should but you cannot deny it if you think it over. to a united front. You may not admit it here publicly, comrades, you have not made it very easy for us to come Europe, explain the situation clearly enough. And, Parties and Trades Unions in Western and Central struggle, not so much in Russia as against the workers' surprised at your position. The methods of your every country. Therefore let me say: Do not be I am only voicing the desires of millions of workers in when I appeal to them to create the necessary conditions national Working Union; but they know quite well that say, nor to the Party I represent, nor to the Interto it to take it amiss. They will not listen to what I way of speaking: we have grown too well accustomed represent the interests of the bourgeoisie. That is their a traitor, so I read to-day, and have been sent here to will heed my appeal, because it comes from me. I am national, I am not such a child as to believe that they necessities. With regard to the Communist Interthat action will impose its own conditions and plating and to fulfil your conditions. I am convinced national to abandon any manœuvres they are contem-

CONDITIONS OF THE SECOND.

I believe that the conditions put by the Second International are such that no one can seriously oppose them.
Georgian question can achieve positive results; but I
do not think we can oppose the suggestion that the
for common investigation. I cannot judge—I do not
possible for Vandervelde to appear as advocate before
encroachment upon your rights (addressing the Comout, under the control of international Socialism. I
believe that if we address an appeal to the comrades of

the Second International, not to issue an ultimatum to you, we must also appeal to you to show by your deeds that you are prepared to place class above party interests.

VIENNA UNION.

I have only one more thing to say on behalf of the Vienna Union: we still hold the position we have taken; we consider the meeting of the Conference necessary; we think it desirable that a practical goal for common action should be proposed here before the Conference meets. All we can do is to appeal to both sides. If either side thinks that we can help in the task of mediation we place our services at your disposal; for our part we are convinced that the need of the proletariat in this hour demands sacrifices from us all. We are convinced that a first step towards common international action, however timid and hesitating it may be, is worth a hundred times more than any utterances about programme and methods.

KARL RADEK.

My answer can be divided into two parts: the answer to Comrade Ramsay MacDonald's speech and the answer to the official declaration of the Second International, which is not in conformity with Comrade MacDonald's speech. Ramsay MacDonald's speech tempts me to discuss it in detail. First, because it is possible to come to an understanding with Ramsay MacDonald without creating any ill feeling; MacDonald's absolute honesty and conviction open the way for peaceful discussions.

THE VERSAILLES TREATY.

MacDonald's speech was a passage, not from the tragedy, but from the tragi-comedy of the proletariat.

out of it. We will return later to a public discussion of this matter. entering into a discussion with you: nothing will come to Genoa. (Wels: That is your logic.) It is no good repeatedly I suppose he has nothing to say with regard treaty.) Because Wels has already dealt with it national we have repeatedly dealt with the Versailles disappear from the agenda. (Wels: In our Interas the reparations burden of the Versailles treaty should German proletariat, such an insignificant little matter position of the international proletariat, including the agree that in this Conference, which is to consider the who are said to belong to the Second International, and whose declarations I am sorry not to have heard here, the representatives of the German Social Democracy, oppose the inclusion of the question of the Versailles treaty. We shall be very interested to know whether in the Vienna Union's invitation, which means they International that they adhere to the agenda suggested Stinnes; and we see from the declaration of the Second should be put on the agenda, because that might help He was in doubt as to whether the Versailles treaty When Vandervelde spoke, we heard an echo from Paris.

RAMSAY MacDONALD'S ATTACK

Now to Ramsay MacDonald. MacDonald, with the conviction characteristic of him, as a Socialist, has sciously represented the programme of Lloyd George. All MacDonald's accusations were an echo of the battle-cries of Liberal England for the freedom of small was the devouring wolf, eating up the little peoples. And Soviet Russia alone in the whole world Comrade Ramsay MacDonald! I have to draw your sentative of the Independent Labour Party, of which you table of the Vienna International—but as a representative of the English Labour Party.

ENGLAND AND SMALL STATES

to the question of Border States; but anyone can understand the objective meaning of it: it means that England Now, comrades, there are diverse opinions with regard Socialists have taken up the cause of the Border States the English Government and a section of the English Tsarism and the existence of the Workers' Republic, defend Russia's rights in Poland. Since the death o States. But England was oposed to this policy. She Randstaaten. When German imperialism defeated the Tsarist State, it "freed" the small peoples, the Border wanted to protect Riga for Russia, and did actually familiar to our ears. up too. These are the Border States—a phrase very another State, and this other State has devoured poor, conquered them. But on the other side there is innocent Georgia, and still other States have been eaten always stands for small peoples, unless she has has arisen. For him only England exists. England imperialism, overlooked one little point. He has and which were a part of the artillery of British centuries of English imperialist history." And so forgotten why this question of little States and nations beautiful things we heard so much of during the war MacDonald in his speech, which teemed with all the have learned to think of it, under the pressure of four working class, as accomplices of English imperialism, you understand it; for freedom as you and the English representatives of all sections of the English press. If of the truth, but specially because there are present here this is so, let me say to you: "You are for freedom, as are facts which we make known, not only for the sake not for independence but only for autonomy. These so that it could never be used as a maritime basis against in whose name you speak stands, with regard to India, English imperialism. May I remind you that the Party put the condition of the neutralisation of the Irish coast, Party attached to the independence of Ireland? They May I remind you what conditions the English Labour In German they are called

69

is to have the disposal of Riga and Batoum, the gates into Russia. That is the history of the Border States, which Ramsay MacDonald wants to make into a Socialist watchword. It is enough to ask MacDonald—you were a member of the Second International before the war: "Why did the Second International never demand the independence of Georgia before the war in the days of Tsarism? Or the independence of the Ukraine? Where were you in the days before the war, when this principle of Socialism was being trodden under foot?"

GEORGIA.

with blood and iron. Workers' Republic, what have you done with your independence? You tried to root out the Bolshevists of Georgia when it was a question of attacking the have none. And you, who stood first for the independence on these matters; but surely, Mr. Tseretelli and others the moral standpoint, therefore, he has a right to speak Martov was amongst those who fought against it. From Tseretelli, voted for the death penalty at the front, death penalties, I should remember that when you, necessary for the defence of Great-Russia. If Martov were to stand up to-day and denounce us because of penalty against the soldiers because he thought it Committee of the Russian Soviet to vote for the death Kerenski, and was the only member of the Central great guns later, fought so vigorously for Great-Russia that he took part in the 1918 offensive as minister under his name off the list of speakers in order to bring up his Georgian independence before October, 1917, but who threw. It consists of men, not one of whom stood for were all Great-Russian patriots. Tseretelli, who struck Menshevist Government of Georgia, which we overthe present champions of this freedom are the know the history of Georgian freedom. As you know, and the other respected delegates at this Conference I go still further and ask whether Ramsay MacDonald I can read you a declaration made

by your Foreign Minister, Gegetchkori, to General Alexejev at a Conference with the representatives of the White armies of the South: "We have suppressed the Bolshevists in our country, we have given shelter to your White officers." If you doubt this declaration, we have the collection of documental reports of your Government, which fell into our hands when we took Tiflis. (Interruption: Are those the secret documents?) Yes, they are the secret documents.

reject the decisions of my Party." Now, Georgia was rejected the red flag (these are his very words), and I an introduction by your Foreign Minister, Gegetchkori, of your Party leader, Dschugeli, which appeared with cleverer, more subtle, English occupation. They left the leader of your Government, Jordania, understood ment House." And Dschugeli goes on to relate that ment were to pull down the red flag from the Govern-Thomson the Social Democratic Party and the Governin which he says: "At the command of General to your rulers, I can read you a passage from the book their cannons and their army in Batoum. With regard General Thomson appeared, and with him the much imperialism. Then the Germans disappeared, and hurrahs in honour of the deliverers, in honour of German understand the tragedy of your position with regard to Eastern fanaticism and Western civilisation, we decide cannot remain neutral, and if we have to choose between this, and says in a speech which I have here: "We little and weak. Georgia could not remain neutral, and he was opposed to this, and says: "My Party has troops, and, together with the German officers, shouted German Government. You went out to meet the German the world "-and you formed a coalition with the German troops you said: "We cannot stand alone in Georgia was independent. When you invited the the bands of the Turks. But that does not say that Kress, the famous German "deliverer" of Georgia. I tion of the Georgian Government came General von What about this independence then? On the invitapeople for independence is of very recent date. In any case it appears that the desire of the Georgian was a better way than the dictatorship of the proletariat. by means of a bourgeois Government. That probably a member of the Party which tried to introduce Socialism plunder it!) You, worthy Comrade Abramovitch, were to do without naphtha. (Abramovitch: And so you merriment.) But we poor devils have not yet learned his enthusiasm as fuel and motor power. (Great will presumably use the volcano of his indignation and without naphtha? (Merriment.) Citizen Abramovitch Citizen Abramovitch introduces Socialism will he do it OVITCH: Quite right, Naphtha Communism!). If to me: Beautiful Naphtha Communism! (ABRAMthe Vienna International comrades has just called out Russian people and the Russian working class. One of importance, not only for little Georgia, but for the great well that the naphtha question is one of considerable but also with the English Government. You know very company, behind which stands the English admiralty, wells was very pressing, not only with the petroleum of Western civilisation. The need for these petroleum considers also the Baku petroleum wells an integral part beautiful things like the Tiflis democracy, but England Tseretelli. But to Western civilisation belong, not only civilisation is an extraordinarily good thing, Comrade in favour of Western civilisation." Now, Western

THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION.

All these questions can only be calmly and sensibly discussed if we ask ourselves: What is the present condition of the world? The first wave of the Russian Revolution produced the Russian revolutionary State. You say, it is not a workers' State. The Amsterdam appeal said: If Soviet Russia falls, the reactionary capitalist world will win a great victory over the whole international working class. Even if we are unable to do anything for the accomplishment of Socialism, and can only prevent this State falling a prey to the Whites,

proletariat? Then you will understand this State, its significance for the further struggle of the international WAVE OF THE REVOLUTION, do you understand its RECOGNISE THIS STATE AS THE RESULT OF THE FIRST you must be clear about it yourselves. Do you them. But if you want to prove this question, stand their position, and will not make fun of exhortations on the right and on the left,-I under-God himself, like Justice with her balance distributing serve the general Conference faithfully, and speaks like between us and the Second International, it wants to stand the position of the Vienna International. It sits from the international proletariat. (Bravo!) I underpreservation of the Russian people is a word of command these possibilities exist, the only thing necessary for the if our friend Tom Shaw can stop the English gunboats who can produce their food in future, if they have peace, if we only turn the Russian people into a peasant people, even managed to persuade Germany that it is world revolution? That is the question. If the kindzigzags. Are we furthering and facilitating thereby the internal policy, its relations with the outer world, its blockading the European Revolution,—I say, if only respect for him, but we say: "You are a tool of that form of English imperialism, we have not lost our protector of Germany." English imperialism which rules the world, which has the Quakers and all the tracts of the English Liberals in hearted Ramsay MacDonald comes with all the tracts of his trunk, without knowing that they are a disguised

AN UNDERSTANDING

Comrades! We shall never come to an understanding over the question of our world philosophy in a Conference. The proletariat of the West will only understand our policy when under the pressure of the hour they make a revolution themselves and stand before the necessity of the consequences. We shall not convince you by propaganda that not every man that

calls himself a Socialist is really one; we shall not convince you by propaganda that not everything which calls itself the right-of-the-peoples-to-self-determination is really such; we shall not bridge over the gulf lying between us by propaganda. During the last year the position in Europe has become very clear. We have seen that the first wave of revolution has passed, the itself for the moment on the defensive. The general not to busy itself with these questions, and so we have only touched upon these differences here, differences where there can be no unity, in order to reach a point

I repeat: When I said to Vandervelde: "Distrust, no confidence", I did not say it for polemical reasons, but I said it because it is well that at the beginning of our action we should know how we stand. We have no confidence in the Parties of the Second International; we cannot feign this confidence. But in spite of this we say: "It is not a question whether we have confidence in one another; the workers demand a common struggle, and we say: let us begin it!"

GOOD FAITH

Ramsay MacDonald asked us to-day: Are you in favour of the united front, do you come in good faith? He need not have asked that question. He should reflect that, if he believes that we are only carrying out a manœuvre to win ground, we must be for the united shall win ground. But if he thinks that the Second International will fight, then this will disturb our the strengthening of the workers' movement. Even against capital. You may think what you like about it, faith does not consist in this, that we have forgotten

anything we have said about you, that we will not fight you. The good faith lies in the need of the hour which exists for the proletariat. It is only the question: Is the proletariat uniting in a struggle against all of us who are present here, or against any of the individual Executives? I prefer to think that this need of the hour is uniting the proletariat with the consent of all the Parties dependent on the proletariat. If we can escape the fratricidal strife of the working classes no one will rejoice more than we do. The cleavage was a means to an end, but not the end itself.

Now the concrete conditions. I declare: we are calling a Conference to define our position with regard to the need of the working class and the defensive struggle. You have not raised questions, put conditions for this struggle against capital. You have imposed conditions upon us. Now, if you think you can put conditions you make a mistake. But I say to you openly: We will try to see whether, without any Party putting conditions to another, we can come to an agreement over the minimum that may be possible.

NOYAUTAGE

I will refer to your demands in turn. The first is the renunciation of cell-tactics. I thought at first it was a question of the refusal of the demands from the cells of Moabit or Boutyrki. (Laughter.) We are quite willing to discuss this. It is a question of other cells, however, and as the representatives of the English Party in particular do not like studying the documents of other Parties, they think these cells are some kind of animal, or gas, which will poison the Second International.

What are cell-tactics? We expressed our opinion on the Trade Union question at the second Conference of the Communist International. We declared against any accentuation of the split in the Trade Unions. This led to the secession of a section of our comrades. The so-called Left Communists left the Communist International for this reason, because they said:

explanations which I think I ought to make here on this question of cell-tactics. strength of the whole working class. These are the knew very well that such tactics would affect the the Trade Unions, not for love of you, but because we of the U.S.P. in Germany. We decided against splitting you not also put up separate lists? So did the comrades German Trade Unions, at the time of the elections, did members of the Social Democratic Party: In the For this fight you say we are building cells. I ask the spiritually pumped out." We will fight against Reformism in the Trade Unions, so long as we exist. the English Trade Unions writes: "The English Trade Unions are absolutely pumped out, and they are also English Trade Unions to such a pass that a member of the struggle against Reformism we shall answer flatly: Unions." But we say to you: If you ask us to give up "Splitting of the Trade Unions, the formation of new Your Reformist tactics have brought the

provided they submit to the discipline of the Trade Unions and show a spirit of solidarity in the Trade among their comrades in support of their convictions, workers, shall be prevented from working as a section that the Communist workers, and only the Communist how have you, the Democrat, the courage to demand question of free spiritual combat in the Trade Unions, order from the Third International. But when it is a allowed to run about for this purpose and receive an sympathise, and would not ask that they should be bombs on the table of your Trade Union offices—I would sion to fight for their ideas? If they wanted to lay democratic Party institutions, should be refused admis-Communists in the English Trade Unions, which are still said. (Laughter.) Now, I ask you, honoured Comrade Tom Shaw, how dare you as a comrade demand that the all honourable people and democrats, as Shakespeare democrat. (Tom Shaw: That is sure!) For they are a democrat, and Tom Shaw is quite certainly also a I might say one thing more. Ramsay MacDonald is

Union struggle? How can you have the courage to demand it? You will not be able to answer this. "Liberty" can be distorted like a wax nose when it is a question of turning it against revolutionary workers. But in this case it will break.

GEORGIA

MacDonald—I repeat it for the third time—is very commissions to examine the internal affairs of Russia, Government to imprison a murderer like your General in the naïveté of mankind great; but do not put too great a strain upon our faith I will say to you: my respect for you, Comrade Dyer, if you demand special tribunals and special who have not the courage to compel the English directed against the first State of the Revolution, you towards the Egyptian question, the Irish question, and circumstances" the attitude of the Labour Party mission. Only I would like to ask, whether in "similar my speech to the examination of documents by a compropose special tribunals and special commissions to be the Indian question ought not to be examined. If you not a condition. For before I read it I had referred in Socialist Parties. We agree to this condition, which is for the purpose of reaching an agreement among Georgia and the other States in similar circumstances, Executives for the examination of the question of nomination of a commission of delegates of the three The second question presented is the question of the

PRISONERS

Now to the third demand, the demand for the liberation of the prisoners who are in prison for their political deeds, and an undertaking that those who are condemned for criminal action shall be allowed rights of defence and a trial under the control of International Socialism. We are very wild people, but the accused have the right to choose the defence they want. I have heard that Citizen Vandervelde would like to plead their

please? (Martov: Inspection of documents!) "control of international socialism"? What is it, court!) Then I ask you: What kind of court is this people to commit outrages. (Marrov: You call this a for your past deeds and everything which has driven International, will try you and the Social Revolutionaries beside you who, with you, in the name of the Communist as lawyer for the defence. We will try to put a lawyer suggestion that you should be granted the right to appear cerned, we can promise that we cordially accept the our delegation from the Communist International is conaccomplices!" It is a noble feeling! And as far as sacrifice does not go quite so far-but I will defend my put me on the prisoner's bench-my spirit of selfallow the Russian Government to exercise their right to he says: "If these poor people are to be judged, I cannot that he shares the guilt of the Social Revolutionaries, and exhausted on the ground, "Jusqu'au bout!" He feels ment which said to Russia, when she lay bleeding and offensive against us. He was a member of a Governalthough we had agreed to go to Prinkipo, concluded the the member of an allied Government in Versailles, who, cause before the revolutionary tribunal in Moscow. I know he is prompted by only noble feelings. He was

the protection of the Second. to the Two-and-a-Half, had placed themselves under Social Revolutionaries, although they wanted to belong this conference, from citizen Vandervelde, that the International? I only heard at one of the sessions of when has Russia been a protectorate of the Second national or of the three Internationals in Russia? Since they want to set up a superior court of the Second Intersecrets of the hearts of the Second International. Do international socialism? I cannot yet fathom the documents and letters. But what is this control of stenographic reports as you like, and to examine all we can satisfy you by allowing you to take as many national-I do not think you speak in their name-then If that was the official meaning of the Second Inter-But that the Communist

> of the Second International I hear different interpretagranted them, that I have never heard. Comrades! had ever begged for this protection, or that it had been Party of Russia or the Communist Government of Russia definite attitude towards the question. I repeat again: tions. We are willing to wait for the authentic inter-Here the Second International demands certain explanapretation of the Bible, so that we can then adopt a Braun: It was I who said it.) That was Adolf Braun! asked you, sir, to accept the twenty-one points. (Adolf possible to facilitate the international workers' congress. we accept no conditions, we are ready to do everything able to carry them out. If you had subscribed to them, you would not have been (Interruption: The twenty-one conditions!) We never Martov has an interpretation; from the members

A UNITED FRONT

posed to-day that this Conference should not break up and come to the congress or not. Comrade Bauer proconcern is, whether we can get over all these difficulties polemics and aggravation of the present situation. My abysses still divide us. The question is: How are we to a new attempt at plunder and not at reconstruction. demonstrations against the Genoa Conference, which is without calling upon the proletariat to make common get over them? The proletariat will not be united are uttering recriminations for the past and mistrust We entirely support this suggestion of Bauer's. But abuse the Communist International as much as you like decide the question of the united front, and you may for the future. The struggle of the proletariat will behind this table, where we are making declarations and part of that section of the proletariat which supports the over again. One thing remains: The will to fight on the two-and-a-half, I do not advise you to begin this story well yourself)—and for one robber I will always give you We are not concerned at this moment with further -(Interruption: You understand how to do that pretty

they have misjudged the length of the road; but they were always there when it was a question of fighting for the interests of their class comrades. Therefore we stand for the united front of the proletariat without conditions.

THIRD DAY.

Wednesday, the 5th April, 1922,

FINAL SESSION.

The session, which was originally to have met at 3 o'clock, then postponed until 5, then until 6 o'clock, opened finally at a quarter to twelve at night, under the presidency of Friedrich Adler.

THE REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF NINE.

FRIEDRICH ADLER.

shall have to describe these difficulties in further detail, again and again our attempts were nearly wrecked. We no reason why we should hide from you the fact that ceeded in passing a common resolution of the Executives. even to meet in common debate, we have at last sucthat, after years during which it has been impossible agreement such as we had dared not hope for at the which existed between the representatives of the Three plished during these days which we have spent together report to you the result of their work. The work accomrepresentatives on the Committee of Nine, I have to beginning of the proceedings. (Bravo!) We can say we can say at once that we have in the end reached an from the bourgeoisie that the difficulties and opposition have no reason for concealing from the proletariat or three delegations present at this Conference and their Executives were very real. But, comrades, although I here in Berlin has been exceedingly difficult, and there is The meeting is open, Comrades! In the name of the

AGREEMENT

Each of the three Executives has put on one side its own special views with regard to certain paragraphs in

00

the resolution, and its wishes with regard to the insertion of further points, and the representatives of all three Executives will set forth in a protocol separate declarations on such points. These protocols will be published in order to give the whole proletariat a chance of understanding existing differences.

But, comrades, in spite of these differences, we have, after long and troublesome deliberations, arrived at a resolution which will express the common will of all three executives; and we believe that, however modest our have wished, we have laid the foundations for the restablishment of the united front and come a step spent here in Berlin, the bourgeois press has been saying impossible; but to-day we can say that in spite of all our differences, we have shown that we share the common action, to make this action possible, and to create the necessary conditions.

THE NEGOTIATIONS,

Comrades! While I am putting before you the results of our deliberations, I will also explain to you quite frankly the course of proceedings during the last days. Everyone present has followed the debates up to the open session yesterday evening, and I will now tell you what has been done to-day. You know how, in the two long sessions, the very divergent points of view of the different sections were expressed very clearly. We believe that these debates, however strongly differences appeared in them, have been useful; for now these things have been spoken out face to face as they had to be.

Comrades! To-day we were faced with the question: Are these debates to drag on for ever? A great deal was left to be said on all sides: a great deal is to be included in the protocols which would have been expressed publicly if the Conference had allowed the time. We said:

make the whole thing clear:already knew of the proposal I had put before the three when they passed their resolution in the morning they lowing resolution, which I will read to you, in order to Internationals. Knowing this, they passed the folthe Second International consider this point important changes and modifications. But—and the Comrades of the afternoon this draft resolution underwent many posal at their meeting this morning. In the course of nationals this morning—on my own responsibility, one which I put before the Executives of the three Interthe Second International was able to consider this prowith my friends of the Working Union. In this way proposals, the proposal of the Second International, and comrades should understand them. There were two of the proceedings, because it is very important that all find some common ground. Early this morning the because I could not get into communication beforehand passed a resolution. I want to give you the true history Second International met and after a long debate to try and get at the root of the matter where we can We have had enough preliminary discussions; we want

DECLARATION OF THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL.

The Executive Committee of the Second International, whilst accepting the principle of a General Conference as soon as a common ground of action can be found, is, however, convinced that a desirable conclusion can only be reached when the Third International abandons the tactics of the formation of "nuclei" and the splitting of the Trades Union movement, when they accept and show respect for the principle of self-determination of nations wherever they are in power, and when they respect the right of freedom of opinion for others.

Therefore, the Executive Committee of the Second International insists upon a written and precise answer being given by the Third International to the three conditions which have been put before them. It agrees that

exist, and preparing the convocation of a General Conference. with the object of eliminating the differences which which will consider the replies, and remain in contact (three representatives from each Executive Committee), the reply should be addressed to the Committee of Nine

continue to function and be entrusted with the work of carried on the organisation of our proceedings should draft resolution that the Committee of Nine which has accepted this morning the proposal contained in my I would also add that the Second International

eliminating existing differences.

publicly to the proletariat the reason for the disruption. broken down, and wanted to pass a resolution explaining International, they considered the negotiations had that in view of the standpoint adopted by the Second the representatives of the Third International declared A discussion followed, and after a short adjournment mittee of Nine at the beginning of the morning session. was presented by Comrade Vandervelde to the Com-This resolution passed by the Second International

declaration. I will afterwards read this declaration. fully, and the three Executives agreed upon a common three Executives. These negotiations, which were very lengthy—as you have all seen—at last ended successbasis of the declaration which I had submitted to the spin new threads which led to fresh negotiations on the from the Third International, because we were able to followed. I will not pursue the details of the negotiations which We did not see the text of this declaration

THE THREE QUESTIONS.

in the negotiations of the Committee of Nine. It was national. These three questions have also played a part raised again in the resolution of the Second Interdealt with in his answer during yesterday's debate, were first speech in the open Conference, and which Radek tions put to the Third International in Vandervelde's I have to draw attention to the fact that the three ques-

> not put in such a form.) refused to put the questions in a definite form, and that Radek; we will wait a moment. (Radek: I want to say that the answers were not given, because Vandervelde ever, that, in accordance with the resolution of the the general conference has nothing to do with questions Perhaps you could make your explanation now, Comrade correct; I would like to point out a mis-statement!) was a general agreement. (RADEK: That is not entirely for subsequent investigation. Upon this point there other issues, should be referred to the Committee of Nine Second International, these questions, which involve received them in a definite form. It was agreed, howof his friends, that he was prepared, at any time, even made known that Comrade Radek had said, in the name to-day, to give a written answer to these questions if he

right in saying this? (RADEK: Quite right.) much more easily than at first seemed probable. Am l is the work of the future to put them and answer them. answer, and Vandervelde said: These questions need the line taken in the debate, that they will be settled And I can also say that Vandervelde hopes, in view of not be settled now when we are pressed for time, but it As I said, Radek declared that he was prepared to possible, stating that these debates actually took place. Comrades! I have tried to sum up as objectively as

Now I will read the text of this common declaration:—

TEXT OF AGREEMENT

shall undertake preparations for further conferences only thing that can be done is to hold deliberations up of an Organisation Committee of Nine, which poses that the Executives should agree to the setting a concrete goal. The Conference, therefore, proference for the purpose of common action towards between all the sections represented at the Conthe proletariat may be, at the present moment the able the unity of the class-conscious organisations of "The Conference is agreed that, however desir-

wider basis, to include parties which are not affiliated to either of the three International organisations. Each Executive is free to appoint as it thinks fit the three representatives to whom it is entitled. In this Organisation Committee no to express the general point of view of the three Executives as far as this is declared.

"The Conference recommends that this Organisation Committee should try to bring about conversations between the representatives of the Amsterdam Trade Union International and the Red Trade Union International, to consider the question of how unity of front can be secured, nationally and internationally."

representatives of the Communist Internation of the the forty-seven Social-Revolutionaries who are to be tried will be allowed any defenders they wish; before the Conference, no death sentences will be lic, representatives of all three Executives will be allowed to attend, to listen to the proceedings, and information of their affiliated Parties.

Executives have expressed their readiness to collect and examine the material to be submitted by the different sections on the question of Georgia. The Conference authorises the Committee of Organisation to draw conclusions from this examination, and to present a report to a later Conference of the three Executives.

"The Conference notes that the representatives of the Second International have declared that they do not consider it possible to hold a general con-

ference in April, that is to say, at the same time as the Genoa Conference. The Conference, however, agrees in principle upon the necessity for calling a general conference as soon as possible. The Executives undertake to inform their affiliated Parties of the progress which the idea of a general conference has made during the Berlin negotiations, and they will give their representatives on the Committee of Organisation full power to conclude favourable negotiations for the calling of a general conference.

impossible this month for the general Conference is impossible this month for the reasons above stated, the present Conference declares that it is an imperative duty, in view of the advance of international imperialist capitalism to demonstrate the united will of the international class-conscious proletariat. The Conference, therefore, calls upon the workers of every country to organise great mass demonstrations, with as much unity as possible, during the Genoa Conference, either on the 20th of April, or, where this is technically impossible, on the first of May:—

"For the eight-hour day.

"For the struggle against unemployment, which has increased immeasurably on account of the reparations policy of the capitalist nowers.

"". For the united action of the proletariat against the capitalist offensive.

"For the Russian revolution, for starving Russia, for the resumption by all countries of political and economic relations with Russia.

"For the re-establishment of the proletarian united front in every country and in the International."

IMMEDIATE ACTION

Comrades! That is the common ground discovered by the three Executives. You see that an understanding a struggle against world capitalism. together of the forces of the international proletariat in common goal we are all striving after, the binding work energetically and cheerfully, in order to reach the estimate, and knowing them still want to continue our still in front of us, the extent of which we do not underthink we can say to you that we know all the difficulties Comrades! After we have taken this step forward, we tiny beginning of common action; but it is a beginning. appeal for common action and demonstrations. It is a joint conference of the three Executives a common become evident at Genoa. We have issued from the tions against the union of imperialist capitalism now as the class-conscious world-proletariat in demonstracular agreed in this spirit to immediate action, to unite towards the united proletarian front, we have in partipious resolution, but have really taken the first step be possible. As a sign that we have not only passed a after this first step has been made further steps will also conference has had to be postponed, still we hope that agree upon this. Although we know that the general succeeded in finding a definite basis for them. We all wider scale in the next general conference. We have conference, so that we might continue our debates on a ference to discuss the technical conditions for a general when this Conference first met. We called this Conin a positive direction is much more possible than it was

Comrades! If you are all agreed and raise no objections, I declare that this common document of the three Internationals is accepted. (Applause.)

Declarations were then handed in by Wels on behalf of the German Social Democratic Party, Tseretelli on behalf of the Georgian Socialist Party, and Grimm on behalf of the International Working Union, the text of which appears in the appendix.

We have now come, Comrades, to the end of our discussions. I think that, however difficult the work has

proletariat in a greater measure than ever before, it is from all of us, we have carried it out in the consciousness been, and however much self-restraint it has demanded with the knowledge that we have a difficult and a great we see the hope before us of uniting the forces of the that we were serving the cause of the proletariat. If task before us. We know, Comrades, that the great of the impetus they bring with them, than the daily, national organisation, and during which, to the great during which we have had no impulse towards interthink we can to-day, for the first time, after a period ference. We will pursue our task to the end, and I wearisome work such as we have had to do at this Conbattles of the proletariat are easier to carry on, because revolutionary proletariat! (Loud and prolonged cheers live the struggling, victorious International of the has been divided, again join in the common cry: Long joy of the bourgeoise in every country, the proletariat All present sign the International.)

(End of the Session, 12 midnight.)

89

APPENDIX I.

DECLARATION OF THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL.

After much hesitation, the delegation of the Executive of the Communist International has resolved to agree to the joint declaration submitted by the Vienna Union. Their hesitation was due primarily to the fact watchword for the workers' demonstrations the annulling national meeting of workers has shown itself far behind believe that the Second International is not really Peace at Genoa, that it is not really prepared to use every weapon against the capitalist offensive.

The delegation of the Executive of the Communist International has, nevertheless, resolved to agree to the joint resolution, although the attempt to convoke an International Labour Conference during the Genoa Conference has failed, owing to the opposition of the Second International.

In spite of these serious considerations, the delegation of the Executive of the Communist International has agreed to the resolution, because of its desire to further, and not to obstruct, the slightest advance in the direction of the united front. For this reason it has renounced into the murder of Rosa Luxembourg, Liebknecht, during the civil war in Germany. For this reason it has forborne, at this preliminary conference, to throw light upon the part played by the Social Democratic Parties

Jugo-Slavia, and Hungary, and reserves the right to demand from the Committee of Nine the appointment of a commission of enquiry into these and similar cases. For this reason it has forborne, at this preliminary conference, to demand from the German Social Democratic Party the release of the proletarian militants in Germany. For this reason, too, it has forborne, at this preliminary conference, to demand an inquiry into the attitude of the Labour Party towards Ireland and the Colonies, and reserves to itself the right to raise all these questions later on. For it is convinced that unless there is a break with the policy of coalition with the bourgeoisie, which is at the root of all this trouble, a real united front of the working class will be impossible.

The delegation of the Executive of the Communist International has resolved to agree to the joint declaration, and to the first feeble steps towards the united front expressed in it, in the firm conviction that the pressure of events will compel the working masses to battle, and will teach them to force their reformist leaders to change their policy if they do not wish to be set on one side by the working classes.

APPENDIX II.

DECLARATION OF THE GERMAN SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC PARTY (WELS).

of a small group of Communist leaders. dictatorship of the proletariat, in reality the autocracy recently won, and to impose upon them the so-called majority of the German people the freedom they had tiny minority of the proletariat to snatch from the year before; the attempt, namely, of an audacious but attempt which had been made successfully in Russia the Independent) of People's Commissaries, to renew an to the wishes of the Joint Council (Social-Democratic to the tragic events of January, 1919, in Berlin, it is of the representatives of the Third International. But a special tribunal does not speak well for the consciences Karl Radek himself. It was he who came, in opposition if there is anyone who has less right than another to refer proletariat by order of the Bolshevist Government before and the approaching trial of the leaders of the Russian mitted during a paroxysm of civil war and street fighting To draw such a parallel between a cowardly crime comthirsty soldiers, deplored by all Socialists in Germany. unauthorised deed perpetrated by a body of blood-Luxembourg and Karl Liebknecht, an abominable and duced into the debate the subject of the murder of Rosa to confuse the issues. Amongst other things he introwhich bore all the marks of a manœuvre, calculated fate of the accused Social Revolutionaries with phrases tried to answer the very serious and urgent question of the speech of Vandervelde, for lack of a better argument, mittee, Karl Radek, in his reply on Sunday to the International I have to make the following declaration: The representative of the Moscow Executive Com-In the name of the German section of the Second

This wild attempt, embodying a foolhardy policy,

both as regards internal and external affairs, was made under the spiritual leadership of Karl Radek, in opposition to Rosa Luxembourg and Karl Liebknecht, as has since been proved. We, the Social Democratic Party of Germany, opposed it; attacked unawares, in the first days we were protected only by a human wall of our unarmed followers, the Social Democratic workers of Berlin, who had lined up in front of the Imperial Chancery. In our ranks, too, a number of workers fell under the bullets and bombs of armed Communist bands. We do not hear of these victims of the civil war, of these martyrs of the proletariat, as if their lives were worth less than the lives of those who attacked us.

No blurring of history, no bold speeches, no invective, can destroy the real facts: we did not want civil war, it was forced upon us by the Sparticists who were following the example of the Bolshevists.

proletariat assembled here: Yes, we suppressed the who, by our determined resistance, our courage in and Bavaria, will in the end recognise that it was we already learned the lesson from the example of Hungary we do so with pride before the German people, before from ideal motives. We accept the responsibility, and body of people, all of whom were by no means acting Constituent Assembly, to set up the dictatorship of a tiny democracy, to prevent the elections to the German attempt made at that time to strangle Republican ference, to say to the representatives of the world by Radek, we seize the opportunity of the present Conreaction, from a German Horthy. Republic in the early days of its existence from militarist face of unpopularity, saved the German Democratic know that the international proletariat, if it has not the international working class and before history! We In this connection and in view of the allusions made

In particular, we will suffer gladly the abuse of Radek, knowing very well that even those who blame us to-day will be grateful to us as soon as their last Bolshevist illusions have fled.

The same applies to his allusions to the victims of the March Putsch in Central Germany in 1921. But here a single word suffices; a single name: Eberlein, to unveil the audacity of the Communists' reproaches. Those who work together with people who have shown such a cynical disregard for the lives and happiness of their own class-comrades, even their own Party-comrades, as the famous Zetkin documents have revealed, have forfeited the right to appear as accusers.

However immoral and horrible may be the proposal, which amounts to blackmail, for an exchange between the Social Revolutionaries and the Communists of Central Germany, it does not lack a certain symbolic meaning: for both are your victims, the victims of the Moscow International!

APPENDIX III.

TSERETELLI'S DECLARATION ON BEHALF OF THE GEORGIAN SOCIALISTS.

Since the debate is not to be continued, in view of the agreement reached, I would like to present the following declaration as a protocol:—

In Radek's speech, the Bolshevists have confessed publicly, for the first time, that they violated the peace treaty with Georgia and occupied this country by military force. They have given as the reason for this occupation the necessity for Russia to secure access to the naphtha regions.

There are two ways in which a country may satisfy its needs with regard to the economic resources and products of another country: the *imperialist* method, which consists in employing military force, and the democratic method, which reaches the desired end by means of a friendly agreement on the basis of mutual interests

I contend that the Bolshevists, according to their own confession, chose the first, the imperialist method; and that, in order to gain their economic ends, they violated a country governed by Socialists.

I seize this opportunity to emphasise the fact that the Socialist Government of Georgia has always been ready, and always will be ready, if it is restored, to take into account as widely as possible the economic needs of Russia, and to conclude with the Russian Government any necessary treaties to safeguard the economic interests of Russia.

The Soviet Government knew, and still knows, this fact, which destroys any shadow of justification which might lie in the military occupation of Georgia, an occupation which took place in spite of the peace treaty with Russia.

J. TSERETELLI.

Berlin, 5th April, 1922.

APPENDIX IV.

DECLARATION OF THE I.A.S.P. (INTER-NATIONAL WORKING UNION).

The Executive of the International Working Union wanted to insert the following passage in the general declaration of the three Executives:—

"The Conference declares that it is the duty of proletarian Parties to work energetically for the immediate release of all political prisoners in their own countries, particularly those who were tried or condemned during a time of open civil war."

The delegates of the Communist International said they could not agree to this passage. In order that the work of the general Conference should not be wrecked on account of this difference of opinion, the International Working Union was compelled to omit this passage from the general declaration, and they note here that the Communist International considered of such importance the further detention of Socialist prisoners in Russia that they were prepared to abandon their struggle for the freedom of the proletarian political prisoners languishing in capitalist State prisons.

The Executive of the Second International is in complete agreement with this declaration of the International Working Union.

On behalf of the Executive Committee of the

International Working Union,
ROBERT GRIMM,
JEAN LONGUET.

THE PRESS AND THE ORGANISATION OF SOCIETY

By Norman Angell
"A wealth of suggestion, all of which is worth serious discussion."

Manchester Guardian.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION: An Outline of the Acts

By W. H. THOMPSON, Preface by GEORGE HICKS 2s. 6d.
"This concise handy guide to a complicated subject,"
The Times Literary Supplement.

IN A RUSSIAN VILLAGE

By CHARLES RODEN BUXTON Cloth, 3s. 6d.; Paper 2s. 6d.

LABOUR AND CAPITAL IN THE ENGINEERING TRADES

By THE LABOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

OIL: Its Influence on Politics

By Francis Delaisi. Translated from the French by C. Leonard Leese Cloth, 3s. 6d.; Paper, 2s. 6d. "The facts set forth are of the greatest value," The Daily Herald.

THE RESTORATION OF AGRICULTURE IN THE FAMINE AREA OF RUSSIA

Issued by The Information Department of the Russian Trade Delegation. Translated by Eden and Cedar Paul 5s. od.

THE LABOUR INTERNATIONAL HANDBOOK

Prepared by The Labour Research Department 12s. 6d.

THE CIVIL WAR IN FRANCE and Two Other Manifestoes By Karl Marx. Introduction by R. W. Postgate 3s. od.

The LABOUR MONTHLY

A Magazine of International Labour Edited by R. PALME DUTT

PRICE SIXPENCE

- "A welcome addition to the staid ranks of monthly periodicals."—Manchester Guardian.
- "A vehement and suggestive addition to the 'left wing' literature in this country."—The Daily News.
 - "Arresting and informative."—The New Witness.
- "A permanent contribution to the world labour movement."—The New York Call.
- "The review promises to become an exceedingly valuable storehouse of economic and political facts."—Glasgow Herald.
- "A periodical which always contains something worth reading."—The Nation and Athenaeum.
- "It is edited by the same able group which has given the Labour Research Department in London its international fame, and which edited that extraordinary useful encyclopedia of the world labour movement, the Labour International Handbook. The Labour Monthly will be the indispensable guide to those who have hitherto sought in vain to keep up with the developments in the international labour movement."—New York Nation.
 - "An excellent review."—Avanti.
- "Tells you things clearly and concisely and, what is rare enough, the printing and format are of great beauty."—Daily Herald.

Post-free subscription terms:—
Three months, 2s.; six months, 4s.; one year, 8s.

The Labour Publishing Company, Ltd. 6 TAVISTOCK SQUARE, LONDON, W.C. 1

Russian Information and Review

Issued twice monthly, on the 1st and 15th,
PRICE SIXPENCE

Each number gives the latest and most accurate information about Soviet Russia.

This information is essential to all who realise Russia's importance in view of the present industrial stagnation throughout the world.

Each number contains:

- (1) The situation in the famine area; relief work; prospects for the harvest.
- (2) Economic situation in Russia generally—details of important industries; industrial output and prospects; economic and social legislation.
- (3) Pussia's foreign relations and trade—full statistics of Russia's purchases and sales abroad; opportunities for foreign capital; texts of Agreements and important Notes.
- (4) General information—science, education, art, literature.

"Russian Information and Review" is compiled from the Moscow press and other first-hand sources. It can be ordered through any newsagent or direct from the Information Department, Russian Trade Delegation, 68, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London, W.C.2.

Six months' postal subscription, 7/-